MEASURE SUMMARY
CHIPRA Core Set Candidate Measures

A. Control #: PHP-11

B. Measure Name: Adolescent Well-Care Visits

C. Measure Definition
   a. Numerator: Adolescents in the denominator population who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a PCP or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year
   b. Denominator: Adolescents who were 12 through 21 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year.

D. Measure Type: □ Process □ Outcome □ Structure □ Efficiency

E. Measure collected using: □ EMR □ CPOE □ Other HIT □ N/A □ NR

VALIDITY

F. Evidence of measure validity submitted? □ Yes □ No

G. Level of evidence supporting the measure (if submitted): (see Oxford University CEBM Levels of Evidence)
   Oxford CEBM = 5 (Expert consensus opinion; Routine well-care visits are seen as an effective way for practitioners to dispense health promotion advice, intervene when an adolescent is engaged in health risk behaviors (e.g., tobacco use) and identify patients who are at early stages of disease and illness.)

H. USPSTF Grade if applicable:

FEASIBILITY

I. Measure Specifications Submitted? □ Yes □ No □ Yes, but insufficient detail provided

J. Data Source:
   □ Admin □ MR □ Survey □ Other (specify):
   □ N/A □ NR

K. Evidence of measure reliability submitted? □ Yes □ No

L. List of entity types currently using measure:
   □ State CHIP Program, MMC health plans

IMPORTANCE

M. Addresses area of care mandated in legislation?
   □ Yes (specify): Adolescent Preventive Services □ No

N. Documented variation in performance (by race/ethnicity, language spoken, insurance type, etc)?
   □ Yes □ No □ NR
   National mean, 2007: 41.88%, 10th percentile, 26.24%, 90th percentile, 56.67%

O. Measure used/data are collected in racial/ethnic populations other than non-Hispanic white?
   □ Yes □ No □ NR
   Not Reported.