



# The CAHPS Ambulatory Care Improvement Guide

---

Practical Strategies for Improving Patient Experience

## Section 6: Strategies for Improving Patient Experience with Ambulatory Care

To download the Guide's other sections, including descriptions of improvement strategies, go to <https://cahps.ahrq.gov/quality-improvement/improvement-guide/improvement-guide.html>.

November 2015



Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality  
Advancing Excellence in Health Care • [www.ahrq.gov](http://www.ahrq.gov)

<b>6. STRATEGIES FOR IMPROVING PATIENT EXPERIENCE WITH AMBULATORY CARE</b>	<b>6-1</b>
<b>Appendix 6a. Crosswalk of Patient Experience Domains and Survey Measures</b>	<b>6-3</b>
<b>Appendix 6b. How Health Plans Can Drive Improvements at the Medical Group Level</b>	<b>6-5</b>
6b.1. Public Reporting on Provider Performance	6-5
6b.2. Private Feedback on Provider Performance	6-7
6b.3. Value-Based Payment	6-8

# cahps<sup>®</sup> table of contents

The CAHPS Ambulatory Care Improvement Guide is a comprehensive resource for health plans, medical groups, and other providers seeking to improve their performance in the domains of quality measured by CAHPS surveys. Use this guide to help your organization:

- Cultivate an environment that encourages and sustains quality improvement;
- Analyze the results of CAHPS surveys to identify strengths and weaknesses; and
- Develop strategies for improving performance.

This resource includes the following sections:

1. About the CAHPS Ambulatory Care Improvement Guide
2. Why Improve Patient Experience?
3. Are You Ready to Improve?
4. Ways to Approach the Quality Improvement Process
5. Determining Where to Focus Efforts to Improve Patient Experience
6. Strategies for Improving Patient Experience with Ambulatory Care

This section introduces the strategies available in this Guide.

6. Strategies for Improving Patient Experience with Ambulatory Care

## 6. STRATEGIES FOR IMPROVING PATIENT EXPERIENCE WITH AMBULATORY CARE

The steps you take to assess patient experience with care in your organization and explore what is driving those experiences will enable the quality improvement team to identify opportunities to improve and establish goals. As discussed in Section 4 of this Guide, the next step in the quality improvement process is to identify possible strategies. Your team may have several ideas for improvement strategies based on its evaluations of care delivery processes and input from stakeholders. To supplement and help to organize those ideas, this section presents selected strategies for improving the experiences of patients and enrollees as measured by the CAHPS surveys.

The strategies are intended to address the various topics covered by CAHPS surveys of ambulatory care, with an emphasis on the three core survey domains of access to health care, communication, and customer service. Table 6-1 below lists several strategies you could consider for each topic. Appendix 6a provides a crosswalk of these topics and the measures derived from different CAHPS surveys (all of which are variations on the CAHPS Health Plan Survey or the CAHPS Clinician & Group Survey).

Note that a few strategies are listed more than once because they could be helpful for more than one topic.

**Table 6-1. Improvement Strategies Organized By Topic**

Topic	Relevant Strategies
Access to care and information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Open Access Scheduling for Routine and Urgent Appointments (6.A)</li> <li>▪ Internet Access for Health Information and Advice (6.D)</li> <li>▪ Rapid Referral Programs (6.E)</li> <li>▪ Group Visits (6.M)</li> </ul>
Communication between patients and providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Training to Advance Physicians' Communication Skills (6.G)</li> <li>▪ Tools to Help Patients Communicate Their Needs (6.H)</li> <li>▪ Shared Decision-Making (6.I)</li> <li>▪ Planned Visits (6.L)</li> <li>▪ Group Visits (6.M)</li> </ul>
Coordination of care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Rapid Referral Programs (6.E)</li> <li>▪ Training to Advance Physicians' Communication Skills (6.G)</li> <li>▪ Tools to Help Patients Communicate Their Needs (6.H)</li> <li>▪ Planned Visits (6.L)</li> </ul>
Customer service	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Service Recovery Programs (6.P)</li> <li>▪ Standards for Customer Service (6.Q)</li> </ul>
Health promotion/education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Training to Advance Physicians' Communication Skills (G)</li> <li>▪ Shared Decision-Making (I)</li> <li>▪ Support Groups and Self-Care (6.J)</li> <li>▪ Group Visits (6.M)</li> <li>▪ Reminder Systems for Immunizations and Preventive Services (6.R)</li> </ul>

## 6. Strategies for Improving Patient Experience with Ambulatory Care

These strategies represent a range of possible solutions. Some are easy and inexpensive to implement, while others are more logistically complex and require a significant investment of money, time, and other resources. If your team wants to pursue a more intensive strategy, it can help to “start small” by breaking down the strategy into smaller components and tackling one component at a time. Also, some strategies may allow you to see results right away, while others may require time to make a measurable difference; your team will need to work with the organization’s leaders to decide which approach would be best.

Finally, it is important to note that these strategies are directed at different audiences. Some strategies are aimed at physician practices and medical groups because they address aspects of care that happen in the doctor’s office, such as access to care (e.g., scheduling appointments and receiving timely care and information), communication between providers and patients, interactions with office staff, shared decision making, and self-management support. Other strategies address experiences within the domain of health plans, such as member services, information to manage health care and costs, and health promotion and education. For some strategies, both health plans and provider groups have a role to play, even if one is more “responsible” than the other for an aspect of patient experience. Health plans, for example, can equip providers with the skills, tools, and information systems they can use to improve their communication with patients. Health plans can also play a very important role in motivating medical groups, practices, and individual physicians to improve patient experience. Appendix 6b discusses three ways in which health plans can harness reporting and purchasing strategies to focus attention on the experience of care.

### What You Can Learn About Each Strategy

The descriptions of each strategy are intended to provide enough information to determine whether the strategy is pertinent and merits further investigation by your team. Specifically, each description covers the following:

- The problem addressed by the strategy (i.e., its connection to the patient’s or enrollee’s experience with health care services).
- An overview of the strategy.
- Benefits of the strategy.
- How the strategy can be implemented.
- Challenges of the strategy.
- Examples.
- Available resources for more information, including journal articles, Web sites, and books.

6. Strategies for Improving Patient Experience with Ambulatory Care

**Appendix 6a. Crosswalk of Patient Experience Domains and Survey Measures**

The tables below list composite measures derived from the standard items in each survey, i.e., the items included by every user of that specific survey. Many other topics, including some of the domains in the left column, are covered by supplemental items that users can choose to add to their surveys. The tables do not include the global rating measures.

**Table 6-2. Domains and Measures in Current Versions of the CAHPS Clinician & Group Survey (as of Fall 2015)**

Domains for Patient Experience	Clinician & Group Survey 3.0 Measures	CAHPS for ACOs Survey Measures	CAHPS for PQRS Survey Measures
<b>Access to care</b>	Getting Timely Appointments, Care, and Information	Getting Timely Care, Appointments, and Information	Getting Timely Care, Appointments, and Information  Between Visit Communication
<b>Communication</b>	How Well Providers Communicate with Patients	How Well Providers Communicate	How Well Providers Communicate
<b>Office Staff</b>	Helpful, Courteous, and Respectful Office Staff	Courteous and Helpful Office Staff	Courteous and Helpful Office Staff
<b>Coordination of care</b>	Providers' Use of Information to Coordinate Patient Care	(not included)	Care Coordination
<b>Self-management</b>	Talking with You About Taking Care of Your Own Health (from the Patient-Centered Medical Home Item Set)	Helping You Take Medications as Directed	Helping You Take Medications as Directed
<b>Shared decision making</b>	(not included)	Shared Decision Making	Shared Decision Making
<b>Health promotion and education</b>	(not included)	Health Promotion and Education	Health Promotion and Education
<b>Access to specialists</b>	(not included)	Access to Specialists	Access to Specialists
<b>Cost of care</b>	(not included)	Stewardship of Patient Resources	Stewardship of Patient Resources

6. Strategies for Improving Patient Experience with Ambulatory Care

**Table 6-3. Domains and Measures in Current Versions of the CAHPS Health Plan Survey (as of Fall 2015)**

Domains for Enrollee Experience	Health Plan Survey 5.0 Measures	Medicare Advantage CAHPS Survey Measures	Qualified Health Plans (QHP) Enrollee Survey Measures
<b>Access to care</b>	Getting Needed Care	Getting Needed Care	Getting Needed Care
	Getting Care Quickly	Getting Care Quickly	Getting Care Quickly
<b>Communication</b>	How Well Doctors Communicate	Doctors Who Communicate Well	How Well Doctors Communicate
<b>Customer Service</b>	Health Plan Customer Service	Health Plan Information and Customer Service	Health Plan Customer Service
<b>Coordination of Care</b>	(not included)	(not included)	How Well Doctors Coordinate Care and Keep Patients Informed
<b>Cultural Competence</b>	(not included)	(not included)	Getting Information in a Needed Language or Format
<b>Access to Information</b>	(not included)	(not included)	Getting Information about the Health Plan and Costs of Care

## 6. Strategies for Improving Patient Experience with Ambulatory Care

### Appendix 6b. How Health Plans Can Drive Improvements at the Medical Group Level

Many of the measures in the CAHPS ambulatory surveys address issues outside of the direct control of health plans, because the locus of the care or service lies at the medical group or practice level. However, health plans can exert some influence on medical groups and individual physicians, encouraging and motivating them to improve the patient's experience in the doctor's office. The degree of influence a plan can exert depends in part on the structure of its relationship with its provider network. Health plans that own physician practices and/or employ physicians, and those that have an exclusive relationship with their contracted providers, tend to have more influence than those that account for only a small share of a medical group's patients.

This section outlines a few ways in which health plans can encourage medical groups and physician practices to take steps to improve patient experience:

- Public Reporting on Provider Performance
- Private Feedback on Provider Performance
- Value-Based Payments

#### 6b.1. Public Reporting on Provider Performance

Public reporting on provider performance can help patients make more informed choices about which health systems, hospitals, medical groups, and individual physicians best meet their needs. In addition, making such information publicly available encourages providers to engage in quality improvement activities in areas where their performance lags.<sup>1,2, 3,4,5</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Fung CH, Lim Y, Mattke S, et al. Systematic review: the evidence that publishing patient care performance data improves quality of care. *Ann Intern Med* 2008;148:111-23.

<sup>2</sup> Lindenauer PK, Remus D, Roman S, et al. Public reporting and pay for performance in hospital quality improvement. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356:486-96.

<sup>3</sup> Elliot MN, Cohea CW, Lehrman WG, et al. Accelerating improvement and narrowing gaps: trends in patients' experiences with hospital care reflected in HCAHPS public reporting. *Health Serv Res* 2015 Apr. doi: 10.1111/1475-6773.12305. [Epub ahead of print]

<sup>4</sup> Alexander J A, Maeng D, Casalino LP, et al. Use of care management practices in small- and medium-sized physician groups: do public reporting of physician quality and financial incentives matter?. *Health Serv Res* 2013 48:376-97.

<sup>5</sup> Lamb GC, Smith MA, Weeks WB, et al. Publicly reported quality-of-care measures influenced Wisconsin physician groups to improve performance. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2013 Mar;32(3):536-43.

## 6. Strategies for Improving Patient Experience with Ambulatory Care

**Public Reporting Can Stimulate Improvement**

Since initiating public reporting of patient survey scores and patient comments about physicians, the University of Utah Health Care has seen a significant increase in physician communication scores, from the 35th percentile in 2010 to the 90th percentile in 2014. Public reporting has also led to a doubling of website traffic.\*

\*Source: Embracing Transparency: Valuing Patients As Informed Consumers. Feb 2013. Article accessible at [http://healthsciences.utah.edu/notes/postings/january\\_2013/020413patientsatisfaction.php](http://healthsciences.utah.edu/notes/postings/january_2013/020413patientsatisfaction.php).

Working independently and in collaboration with other stakeholders (e.g., large employers, local purchasing coalitions, government purchasers), health plans have been active in developing public “report cards” on provider performance—primarily on the Web but sometimes in print. These reports provide comparative information on the performance of hospitals and medical groups on various measures of quality, including but not limited to CAHPS survey measures. By making these reports available, health plans encourage their members to pay attention to the quality of their providers and to select high-performing medical practices and physicians.<sup>6</sup> As part of these programs, health plans can also publicly recognize high-performing providers in their network.

The following examples describe health plan efforts to work with other stakeholders to develop and publicly report on patient experience with providers:

- The Wisconsin Collaborative for Healthcare Quality (WCHQ), a multi-stakeholder, voluntary consortium of Wisconsin health plans, health systems, medical groups, and hospitals, has been publicly reporting provider performance on quality measures since 2004. WCHQ’s online Performance & Progress Report on clinics and medical groups shows scores for six composite measures from the CAHPS Clinician & Group Survey: “Getting Timely Appointments, Care, and Information,” “How Well Providers Communicate,” “Helpful, Courteous, and Respectful Office Staff,” “Follow Up on Test Results,” “Overall Provider Rating,” and “Willingness to Recommend.” For large medical groups, the results are broken down by specialty.<sup>7</sup>
- Massachusetts Health Quality Partners (MHQP) is a coalition of health plans, physicians, hospitals, purchasers, patient and public representatives, academics, and government agencies that has worked to improve the quality of health care services in Massachusetts. Among other activities, MHQP collects and publicly reports on the performance of over 500 physician practices on various quality

<sup>6</sup> Martino SC, Kanouse DE, Elliott MN, et al. A field experiment on the impact of physician-level performance data on consumers' choice of physician. *Med Care* 2012 Nov;50 Suppl:S65-73.

<sup>7</sup> The Wisconsin Collaborative for Healthcare Quality Performance and Progress Report is accessible at <http://www.wchq.org/reporting/>

## 6. Strategies for Improving Patient Experience with Ambulatory Care

metrics, including patient experience measures from MHQP's statewide Patient Experience Survey, which is based on the CAHPS Clinician & Group Survey.<sup>8</sup>

### Learn About Public Reporting of CAHPS Survey Results

For guidance on developing public reports of CAHPS Clinician & Group Survey results, refer to:

*Developing a Public Report for the CAHPS Clinician & Group Survey: A Decision Guide.* Prepared for the Aligning Forces for Quality program, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. Oct 2013. Accessible at <http://www.rwjf.org/en/library/research/2013/09/developing-a-public-report-for-the-cahps-clinician---group-surve.html>

### 6b.2. Private Feedback on Provider Performance

As a substitute or complement to public performance reports, health plans can also feed useful information to health care providers—including administrative leaders and staff—through private reports that evaluate their performance on various aspects of quality, including patient experience. In some cases, health plans share private reports first, and then introduce public reports after providers become more comfortable with the assessment of quality and the methodology being used. Private reports often contain more detailed information than that available in public reports, thus helping providers to pinpoint more precisely those aspects of the patient experience that are in need of improvement. For example, private reports may include results for individual survey items as well as summaries of patients' complaints and feedback, thus providing insights into common problems that need to be addressed.<sup>9</sup>

Private reports also typically offer more detailed comparisons of individual provider and/or group performance to that of peers and other benchmarks, such as local, regional or national norms and "best-in-class" performance. This comparative data not only encourages a sense of competition among providers to improve, but also may stimulate conversations among doctors and other clinicians about ways to improve performance on patient experience and other quality measures.

Examples of health plan initiatives to compile and disseminate private reports to network providers that include CAHPS or other patient experience survey measures include the following:

<sup>8</sup> MHQP publishes performance information on physician practices at <http://www.healthcarecompassma.org/>.

<sup>9</sup> Gerteis M, Harrison T, James CV, et al. Getting behind the numbers: understanding patients' assessments of managed care. New York: The Commonwealth Fund; November 2000. Publication 428. Available at: <http://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/fund-reports/2000/dec/getting-behind-the-numbers--understanding-patients-assessments-of-managed-care>

## 6. Strategies for Improving Patient Experience with Ambulatory Care

- In 2005, HealthPlus of Michigan (an independent health plan) began privately reporting detailed performance data from the CAHPS Clinician & Group Survey to PCPs that direct primary care for enrollees in the plan's commercial HMO product. In combination with information on best practices, this feedback helped to stimulate steady improvement in both CG-CAHPS and CAHPS Health Plan Survey scores over a 7-year period through 2012.<sup>10</sup>
- In addition to public reporting, Massachusetts Health Quality Partners distributes private reports to all medical practices that participate in the statewide Patient Experience Survey.
- In the public sector, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) provides each group practice participating in the CAHPS for PQRS Survey with survey results in an individualized, detailed report. These reports describe the content of the survey and include the group practice's scores on both the summary measures and individual questions in the survey, comparison scores and, where applicable, trend data showing how a practice's results from the previous reporting period compare to results from the current one. CMS provides a similar feedback report to convey CAHPS for ACOs Survey results to those organizations participating in the Medicare Shared Savings and Pioneer Programs.

### Learn About Private Reporting of CAHPS Survey Results

Health plans interested in providing comparative benchmark performance data and percentile scores by specialty type and region can find such information through the AHRQ CAHPS Database: <https://cahpsdatabase.ahrq.gov/cahpsidb/>.

In addition, AHRQ has developed a guide entitled "Private Performance Feedback Reporting for Physicians: Guidance for Community Quality Collaboratives." This publication provides 13 specific recommendations on how to produce effective private performance feedback reports in parallel with public reporting efforts. It is available at: <http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/clinicians-providers/resources/privfeedbackdrpt/>.

### 6b.3. Value-Based Payment

Health plan payments to providers can be a critical lever for creating incentives to providers to improve the patient experience. Many health plans have already implemented pay-for-performance (P4P) and other payment programs that financially reward the provision of "high-value" care—i.e., care that is high quality, cost-effective, and person-centered. Such value-based payment programs typically tie payment to performance on a wide array of quality and cost measures, including those that evaluate clinical processes, patient safety, utilization of health care resources, structural elements

<sup>10</sup> Unpublished presentation by Clifford Rowley, Director of Member Service and Satisfaction, HealthPlus of Michigan, to the Michigan Patient Experience of Care Initiative, January 16, 2013.

## 6. Strategies for Improving Patient Experience with Ambulatory Care

of care, clinical outcomes (e.g., readmissions, mortality, complications), and costs (e.g., total cost of care, cost per episode). By incorporating Clinician & Group Survey measures into these payment systems, health plans can create meaningful incentives for providers to improve the patient experience.<sup>11,12</sup>

For P4P and other value-based payment programs to be successful in stimulating improvement, health plans and providers must come to a mutual agreement on the size and structure of the incentives, and not hesitate to tie a meaningful portion of payments to performance on a manageable number of measures.<sup>13,14,15</sup>

Examples of value-based payment programs that incorporate patient experience measures include the following:

- Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts (BCBSMA) developed the Alternative Quality Contract (AQC) payment system, which pays providers a population-based, global budget combined with significant financial incentives tied to performance on a broad set of quality measures, including CAHPS measures. By its fourth year of operation, the AQC had led to cost savings of nearly 10% while simultaneously improving quality performance, including patient experience scores. BCBSMA is now using AQC with its new health insurance products so as to create significant incentives for members to choose high-value providers and make high-value care choices, which in turn has encouraged them to participate actively in discussions with health care providers about quality and value.<sup>16</sup>

<sup>11</sup> Browne K, Roseman D, Shaller D, et al. Analysis & commentary. Measuring patient experience as a strategy for improving primary care. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2010 May;29(5):921.

<sup>12</sup> Damberg CL, Sorbero ME, et al. ASPE Research Report: Measuring Success in Health Care Value-Based Purchasing Programs. Summary and Recommendations. Available at [http://aspe.hhs.gov/health/reports/2014/HealthCarePurchasing/rpt\\_vbp\\_summary.pdf](http://aspe.hhs.gov/health/reports/2014/HealthCarePurchasing/rpt_vbp_summary.pdf)

<sup>13</sup> Arcadia. Pay-for-Performance (P4P) Strategies for Health Plans and Provider Networks: Building Collaboration through Technology, Shared Value, and Trust. 2013. Accessible at [http://content.arcadiasolutions.com/hs-fs/hub/358257/file-793806811-pdf/White\\_Paper\\_p4p.pdf?utm\\_source=hs\\_automation&utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_content=12778502&hsenc=p2ANqtz-ibpU43fW\\_G7dN1hHb4a\\_OKBdEXwFrVBvzRPH2v\\_IZZAY9v5mHHAYVoxsIvMGzY9j6bEjfBxEm3BxZpd8aSsNHRaG a bA&\\_hsmi=12778502](http://content.arcadiasolutions.com/hs-fs/hub/358257/file-793806811-pdf/White_Paper_p4p.pdf?utm_source=hs_automation&utm_medium=email&utm_content=12778502&hsenc=p2ANqtz-ibpU43fW_G7dN1hHb4a_OKBdEXwFrVBvzRPH2v_IZZAY9v5mHHAYVoxsIvMGzY9j6bEjfBxEm3BxZpd8aSsNHRaG a bA&_hsmi=12778502)

<sup>14</sup> Ryan AM, Damberg CL. What can the past of pay-for-performance tell us about the future of Value-Based Purchasing in Medicare? *Healthc (Amst)* 2013 Jun;(1-2):42-9.

<sup>15</sup> Kirschner K, Braspenning J, Jacobs JE, et al. Design choices made by target users for a pay-for-performance program in primary care: an action research approach. *BMC Fam Pract* 2012 Mar 27;13:25.

<sup>16</sup> More information can be found at Massachusetts Payment Reform Model: Results and Lessons, available at <http://www.bluecrossma.com/visitor/pdf/aqc-results-white-paper.pdf> and National Quality Strategy Webinar: Using Payment to Improve Health and Health Care Quality, available at <http://www.ahrq.gov/workingforquality/nqs/webinar020415/webinar6.htm>.

## 6. Strategies for Improving Patient Experience with Ambulatory Care

- The Integrated Healthcare Association (IHA), a multi-stakeholder group in California that includes health plans, administers a statewide P4P program in which participating commercial HMOs use common measures to evaluate the performance of contracted physician groups and pay bonuses tied to that performance. Measures evaluate both clinical processes and patient experience. Additional information can be found at <http://www.iha.org>.