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CAVEAT
Data Limitations: The Network of Patient Safety Databases (NPSD) does not contain a representative sample of patient safety concerns and cannot be used to calculate the actual incidence or prevalence of patient safety events. The reporting of patient safety concerns to the NPSD is voluntary as is the reporting to PSOs by providers. The NPSD is a summary of the elements in Hospital Common Formats Event Reports for specific types of patient safety concerns, submitted voluntarily by a portion of Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)-listed Patient Safety Organizations (PSOs).
THE NETWORK OF PATIENT SAFETY DATABASES

The U.S. Department of Health & Human Services was directed in the Patient Safety and Quality Improvement Act of 2005 (PSQIA) to create and maintain a “Network of Patient Safety Databases” (NPSD) to provide an interactive, evidence-based management resource for health care providers, Patient Safety Organizations (PSOs) (listed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)), and others. AHRQ, the lead federal agency for patient safety, is authorized to implement the NPSD and other elements of PSQIA.¹ The NPSD is intended to capture non-identifiable information on patient safety and quality collected by providers who submit patient safety work product to AHRQ-listed PSOs across the U.S. PSOs, in turn, submit their data to a PSO Privacy Protection Center (PSOPPC), which sends non-identified, aggregated information to the NPSD. Both the PSOPPC and the NPSD are operated under contract with AHRQ. By aggregating and analyzing this information, Congress envisioned that national and regional statistics, including trends and patterns of health care errors, would be made available to the public.

This Network of Patient Safety Databases Chartbook, 2019 (NPSD Chartbook) and accompanying online Dashboards provide users with the first look at some of the patient safety information collected through the national learning network of providers (including clinicians and hospitals), and the AHRQ-listed PSOs.

Because the submission of patient safety event data by providers and PSOs to the NPSD is completely voluntary, the NPSD data are not statistically comparable to clinical quality measures. For example, the data from clinical quality measures reported by agencies such as the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), which may focus on all eligible members of a population, can establish denominators and calculate rates of occurrence. Voluntary patient safety reporting systems are, however, marked by variability in the rate and consistency of reporting, and denominators are typically unavailable. Hence, the event report data submitted to the NPSD cannot be used to calculate the actual incidence or prevalence of patient safety events.

In order to accomplish national aggregation of patient safety data for pattern analysis, learning, and trending, AHRQ first had to create a standardized way of collecting such data, called the “Common Formats.” AHRQ’s Common Formats provide universally-available data formats and definitions of patient safety events that allow uniform reporting across all participating providers and PSOs. AHRQ released the first version of Common Formats for Event Reporting - Hospital (CFER-H) in September of 2008, in time to be available for the first PSOs that were approved and listed in November 2008. The CFER-H have been updated three times since, an initial version of CFER-Community Pharmacy has been issued, and a beta version of CFER-Nursing Home has been developed.

At the current time, information being accepted at the PSOPPC for submission to the NPSD is limited to those data that conform to AHRQ’s CFER-H Versions 1.2 and 2.0 (Versions 1.0 and 1.1 have been retired). While there are over 80 PSOs, not all of them are collecting information according to the Common Formats. Some that are using Common Formats have elected not to

¹ A complete description of the PSO Program, including how to become or work with a PSO, can be found at this link: https://www.pso.ahrq.gov.
submit data to the PSOPPC/NPSD. Some PSOs are focusing on settings other than the hospital, or concentrate their work in areas other than patient safety event reporting.

The NPSD Chartbook and accompanying online Dashboards represent the first presentations of comprehensive patient safety data aggregated and analyzed under PSQIA. The analyses aim to characterize the nature of patient safety events in hospital settings submitted by AHRQ-listed PSOs. This first NPSD release provides an early national portrait of these patient safety report data, and there is much to be learned. For example, one can examine: the extent of harm as reported overall, and in association with different event types; the distribution of incidents, near misses, and unsafe conditions that were reported, by event type; and, detailed information about specific event types, such as the distribution of various injuries resulting from the falls that were reported, or the stages in the process of medication administration during which a reported error occurred.

**Data and Analysis Available at the NPSD**

The NPSD Chartbook and Dashboards comprise three sections covering different types of NPSD analyses:

**Data Submission Summary**

The Data Submission section provides a high-level overview of the frequency of patient safety concerns reported by AHRQ-listed PSOs. Examples include number of reports submitted by year, by version, and by completeness (of Common Formats elements). It also illustrates the adoption, implementation, and spread of the Common Formats over time. The total number of reports submitted between July 26, 2012 and March 31, 2018 was 270,098 for CFER-H V1.1 and 869,026 for CFER-H V1.2 for a combined total of 1,139,124 reports.

**Generic Patient Safety Concerns**

The Generic section pertains to all patient safety concerns – incidents, near misses, and unsafe conditions – and includes basic information about all types of events. Examples of generic information include type of event, location, and level of harm. This section displays the distributions of the types of events and unsafe conditions reported by the AHRQ-listed PSOs. The section also presents descriptive statistics about the extent of residual harm experienced by patients who have been impacted by reported patient safety incidents.

**Event-specific Reports**

The Common Formats include event-specific modules pertaining to nine patient safety event types that represent the majority of reported preventable injuries that happen in hospitals. Event-specific modules capture information that goes beyond generic data and is related to relevant patient outcomes or processes of care in hospitals. Event-specific modules are employed in addition to, not in place of, the Generic module. An example of additional detail from the Fall module would be the type of injury sustained in a fall.

The Event-specific section at the NPSD displays more detailed information for the four types of safety events most frequently reported by PSOs: Blood or Blood Products, Device or Medical/Surgical Supply, Fall, and Medication or Other Substance.

There were insufficient data submitted to the PSOPPC to include results from the remaining five event-specific modules: Healthcare-Associated Infection, Perinatal, Pressure Ulcer, Surgery or Anesthesia, and Venous Thromboembolism.
Conceptual Framework of the Common Formats

The Common Formats were developed by AHRQ in conjunction with: 1) the Federal Patient Safety Work Group (PSWG) – an interagency group comprising a number of representatives from different agencies within the Department of Health and Human Services, the Department of Defense, and the Department of Veterans Affairs; 2) the National Quality Forum; and 3) the public. The development process, which was described in the Proposed Rule⁴ published in the Federal Register in February of 2008, includes multiple opportunities for input from subject matter experts and feedback from all interested parties, public and private. The Common Formats are released as specific, dated versions that are updated periodically to incorporate enhancements that improve their efficiency and accuracy and to reflect relevant changes in clinical care. They are intended to provide scientifically-supportable clinical definitions that are the standard for reporting and analyzing patient safety concerns.

Although the CFER can be used at the point of care, where patient safety events occur and where initial information should be collected as soon after an event as possible, they are not intended to function as an actual reporting system for the setting in which they are applied. The local implementation of systems built to the specifications of the CFER will likely include additional information the provider considers to be necessary for further documentation of adverse events and understanding of causes of patient safety events.

While the CFER exist for three settings of care, the first and most active setting for data collection remains the Hospital (CFER-H). Only data for CFER-H have been reported to the PSOPPC/NPSD therefore, they are the only source of data included in this analysis. CFER-H consists of two types:

**Generic Formats** pertain to all patient safety concerns – incidents, near misses, and unsafe conditions. The Generic module allows standardized collection of basic information for all types of events, no matter how rare. Examples of generic information related to a patient safety concern include type of event, location, and level of harm.

**Event-Specific Formats** pertain to nine patient safety events that represent the great majority of preventable injuries that happen in hospitals. The event-specific modules capture data related to relevant patient outcomes or processes of care in hospitals, the use of risk assessments or preventive actions, specific descriptive information pertinent to the event type, and recognized patient risk factors and contributing factors. Event-specific Formats are employed in addition to, not in place of, Generic Formats. An example of additional detail from the Fall module would be the type of injury sustained in a fall.

Supporting documentation for all of the modules for CFER-H V1.2 and CFER-H V2.0 can be found at: [https://www.psoppc.org/psoppc_web/publicpages/commonFormatsOverview](https://www.psoppc.org/psoppc_web/publicpages/commonFormatsOverview).

**Implementation**

**Common Formats.** AHRQ supplies only specifications for CFER-H-compliant software, not the software itself. Individual PSOs and providers have pursued a variety of strategies to operationalize the Common Formats based on local circumstances, from developing internal systems to collect and report native Common Formats data to contracting with software vendors to collect and map data into the Common Formats from other software platforms. For some providers and PSOs, the

---

⁴ Federal Register / Vol. 73, No. 29 / Tuesday, February 12, 2008 / Proposed Rules / 8112, 8129.
expense of contracting with vendors has presented a challenge to reporting, as have the complexities of revising existing data systems to map as reliably and accurately as possible to the Common Formats data elements. Nonetheless, adoption of the Common Formats has been steadily growing since AHRQ released the CFER-H V0.1 Beta in August 2008. Since that time, the formats have been the subject of continuous improvement efforts, with four subsequent releases. The data considered in this NPSD Chartbook were submitted under two major releases, Versions 1.1 (CFER-H V1.1) and 1.2 (CFER-H V1.2).

NPSD. While the flow of data to the PSOPPC began in 2012, the volume was not significant until 2017, when it increased dramatically. That increase, which continued through 2019, has made it possible to operationalize the NPSD and issue this first report. The PSOPPC has also begun receiving data from PSOs in CFER-H V2.0, the latest version of CFER-H, for the first time, although there were insufficient V2.0 data to be included in the current report.

Data quality and limitations. All PSOs who submitted data to the PSOPPC met minimum standards for inclusion of core data elements from the CFER-H, a condition of the PSOPPC accepting their data. This standard was a basis for proceeding with this NPSD release. However, virtually none of the PSOs employed software systems that were completely compliant with CFER-H. Alterations from specifications included:

- Only selected CFER-H data elements were incorporated into an event reporting system, usually mixed with pre-existing data elements from a legacy system.
- All CFER-H data elements were incorporated, but many were made optional.
- Legacy systems at the provider level – very different from CFER-H – were mapped into a PSO system.
- Definitions used in legacy systems differed from CFER-H, even though labeled the same.

These various issues, different for each PSO submitting data, resulted in artifacts that prevented some data from being included in the NPSD.

The Future

As more providers begin to work with AHRQ-listed PSOs, the number of providers and PSOs contributing data to the NPSD will grow, and the subject areas available for reporting will expand. All types of providers in a variety of health care settings can benefit from working with a PSO, so we anticipate that the NPSD will serve as a national learning system for patient safety that goes well beyond the hospital setting and AHRQ’s currently-available Common Formats. This broad latitude has already allowed PSOs to undertake an impressive array of activities. Safety and quality information has been collected by PSOs across many different settings, some addressing “all-cause harm” and some with specific concentrations such as anesthesia, vascular surgery, medications and many other important areas. As the volume of data being transmitted to the NPSD increases over time, the value of this national learning network will continue to grow.

NPSD Chartbook Text Formatting

The text of the NPSD Chartbook has been formatted to assist readers in recognizing when the discussion relates to a Common Formats Event Type, Data Element, and Answer Value. Event Types represent the distinct modules of the CFER-H (e.g., Blood or Blood Product, Device or
Medical/Surgical Supply, Fall, Healthcare-Associated Infection, Medication or Other Substance, Perinatal, Pressure Ulcer, Surgery or Anesthesia, and Venous Thromboembolism). Data Elements refer to the concepts reported in the CFER-H and captured through individual questions asked of reporters for each patient safety concern (e.g., “What is being reported?” Incident, Near miss, or Unsafe condition). Answer Values represent the unique response options for each Data Element. Following the previous example, the Data Element “What is being reported?” has three Answer Values: Incident, Near miss, and Unsafe condition.

Each of these types of information contained in the CFER-H is formatted differently in the text to clarify the context of the information for readers. The following formatting is used throughout the document:

- **Event Types:** All key words have first-letter capitalization, and italicized (e.g., Blood or Blood Product)

- **Data Elements:** All letters are capitalized, and bold-faced (e.g., CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OR UNSAFE CONDITION)

- **Answer Values:** First letter of first word is capitalized, and all letters are italicized (e.g., Unsafe condition or Moderate harm)

Of the nine EVENT-SPECIFIC CATEGORIES (EVENT TYPES) collected for CFER-H, four are explored in more detail in event type-specific sections: Blood or Blood Product, Device or Medical/Surgical Supply, Fall, and Medication or Other Substance. There are no detailed sections for the Perinatal, Pressure Ulcer, or Surgery or Anesthesia EVENT TYPES because too few of the submitted reports were sufficiently complete for meaningful analysis. No structured data were collected for Other reports, precluding detailed analysis. Subsequent to the development of the CFER-H, reporting Healthcare-Associated Infection through the CDC NHSN has been mandated in many states and by CMS. Given the small number (8,653) of CFER-H V1.2 Healthcare-Associated Infection reports submitted through March 31, 2018, and the high quality of the data collected through NHSN, AHRQ has elected not to report any CFER-H Healthcare-Associated Infection data beyond the quantity of reports submitted. Finally, while there is a recognized need to collect data on Venous Thromboembolism Incidents, the small number (201) of CFER-H V1.2 Venous Thromboembolism reports received was deemed insufficient for any analysis and, as with Healthcare-Associated Infection, AHRQ has chosen to report only the quantity of reports submitted.

The data in the NPSD Chartbook for the Generic Patient Safety Concerns and four types of safety events (i.e., Blood or Blood Products, Device or Medical/Surgical Supply, Fall, and Medication or Other Substance) were submitted in CFER-H V1.2. Data submitted in CFER-H V1.1 is omitted from the analysis for these figures.

**DATA SUBMISSION SUMMARY**

The Data Submission Summary section illustrates the adoption and use of the CFER-H V1.1 and CFER-H V1.2 for reporting patient safety concerns, examining the frequency and types of reports submitted to the PSOPPC. Individual figures provide the distributions of the types of events and unsafe conditions reported by the AHRQ-listed PSOs in these two versions, as well as descriptive statistics about the number of reports submitted for each patient safety category or event type.
CFER-H V1.1 was released on March 31, 2010 and retired on July 7, 2017. CFER-H V1.2 was released on April 3, 2012 and remains in use. CFER-H V2.0a was released on August 3, 2018, but no data have been submitted yet using this version of the specifications.
Cumulative Number of Reports Submitted by Common Formats Version by Year

This figure displays a running total of all reports submitted to the PSOPPC by year from July 26, 2012 through March 31, 2018 in CFER-H V1.1 and CFER-H V1.2.

Counts shown in the figure are cumulative, therefore it is not appropriate to sum the counts shown across years. The total number of reports submitted was 270,098 for CFER-H V1.1 and 869,026 for CFER-H V1.2 for a combined total of 1,139,124 reports.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.

**Cumulative Number of Reports Submitted by Common Formats Version by Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>CFER-H V1.1</th>
<th>CFER-H V1.2</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>270,098</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,139,124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The data presented indicate a running total of the number of reports submitted to the PSOPPC via CFER-H V1.1 and CFER-H V1.2.

**Technical Notes**

- The year displayed indicates the year a report was submitted by a PSO to the PSOPPC. Note that this is neither the date the patient safety concern occurred nor the date the concern was reported by the health care provider or facility. While not reported here, the **INITIAL REPORT DATE** is the CFER-H data element representing the date the report was initially entered into the system at the provider facility. The median number of days between **initial report date** and submission to the PSOPPC was 595 (1.6 years), with an interquartile range (25th-75th percentiles) from 269 days (0.7 years) to 1,206 days (3.3 years). The full range of differences between **initial report date** and submission date was 0 days to 3,474 days (9.5 years). Importantly, the initial submissions from many PSOs contained historical data that
inflated the time between initial report date and submission INITIAL REPORT DATES range from August 1, 2007 through March 31, 2018.

Some reports that were counted in the Data Submission Summary module may not be counted in one of the specified modules of the CFER-H: the Generic Patient Safety Concern module; Blood or Blood Product; Device or Medical/Surgical Supply; Fall; and Medication or Other Substance patient safety event-specific modules. The excluded reports contained information that is not within the intended scope of CFER-H. For example, 2,438 Medication or Other Substance events that were reported as Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action are considered outside the scope of CFER-H. Thus, these were excluded from report counts in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module and in the Medication or Other Substance module. It should also be noted that reports involving an Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action have no further information associated with them. For this reason, frequencies and percentages displayed in the Data Submission Summary module differ from those shown in other modules. Criteria for exclusion may be found in the CFER-H Event Descriptions. A complete list of exclusion criteria for CFER-H V1.2 is located in Appendix A.

Completeness of Reports Submitted by Common Formats Version

The CFER-H include detailed definitions of patient safety concerns and were developed to capture standardized data regarding patient safety concerns. The CFER-H are also intended to permit the evaluation of trends on a national level. Although the CFER-H were developed to collect a large number of detailed data elements related to patient safety concerns, many PSOs were only able to capture a portion of all possible data elements. There are numerous reasons for this partial reporting, such as the providers’ use of risk management data systems that do not include the same data elements and the expense required to convert existing data to meet CFER-H specifications. The difference between partial reporting and full reporting was revealed when the data were submitted to the PSOPPC.

This figure displays the number of reports by completeness of fields (minimum, partial, or full) as submitted for CFER-H V1.1 and CFER-H V1.2.

The percentage of reports that met the standard for full reporting in CFER-H V1.2: 47.6% (128,493 / 270,098) for V1.1 compared to 4.0% for V1.2 (34,722 / 869,026). The vast majority of reports submitted in CFER-H V1.2 were partial reports (799,702 / 869,026; 92.0%), or only met the minimum Validation Data Set requirement for reports to be accepted by the PSOPPC import process (34,602 / 869,026; 4.0%).

Although more reports were considered full among CFER-H V1.1 submissions, most of the difference was not more detailed data, but the result of selecting Other as the CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OR UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE). When a patient safety concern is reported as an Other EVENT TYPE, only a limited number of generic informational data elements are collected, in contrast to each specific EVENT TYPE for which detailed event-specific data elements are collected. A review of information provided for Other events did not identify a need for new types of patient safety events to be specified and added to CFER-H, but the information will be considered for future data elements and answer values, and for educational purposes for PSOs. The frequent selection of Other appeared to be predominantly the result of attempts to map data from various systems into CFER-H data elements.
Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.

### Completeness of Reports Submitted by Common Formats Version

![Bar Chart: Completeness of Reports Submitted by Common Formats Version]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Reports</th>
<th>CFER-H V1.1</th>
<th>CFER-H V1.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Reports</td>
<td>2,206</td>
<td>34,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Reports</td>
<td>139,399</td>
<td>34,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Reports</td>
<td>799,702</td>
<td>128,493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The CFER-H V1.1 and V1.2 data presented indicate the number of reports submitted, stratified by CFER-H version. The total number of reports submitted via CFER-H V1.1 was 270,098; for CFER-H V1.2 the total was 869,026. The combined total number of reports was 1,139,124.

- **Technical Notes**

  - Data represent all reports received between July 26, 2012 and March 31, 2018. **INITIAL REPORT DATES** for the data range from August 1, 2007 through March 31, 2018. The **INITIAL REPORT DATE** is when the report was entered into a data system at the provider facility, which may have been on or after the date when the patient safety concern was observed. The median number of days between **INITIAL REPORT DATE** and submission to the PSOPPC was 595 (1.6 years), with an interquartile range (25th-75th percentiles) from 269 days (0.7 years) to 1,206 days (3.3 years). The full range of differences between **INITIAL REPORT DATE** and submission date was 0 days to 3,474 days (9.5 years). Importantly, the initial submissions from many PSOs contained historical data that inflated the time between **INITIAL REPORT DATE** and submission date.

  - Data completeness is electronically assessed sequentially as follows: (a) Does the report meet the Validation Data Set requirements contained in the Implementation Guide in the CFER-H Technical Specifications? The Validation Data Set requires that each report contain
identifying numbers for the PSO (PSO OID), provider (PROVIDER ID), and event (EVENT ID); and the REPORT TYPE, category of event (EVENT TYPE), and INITIAL REPORT DATE. In addition, Incident reports must provide PATIENT GENDER and/or NEONATE GENDER, and PATIENT DATE OF BIRTH and/or PATIENT AGE and NEONATE DATE OF BIRTH. Reports lacking any of these data elements are rejected during the PSOPPC import process and do not become part of the NPSD data set. Those that pass are considered minimum reports in the context of this figure. (b) Next, the data element responses are evaluated to determine if they follow the logic of the Flow Charts in the CFER-H Technical Specifications. A report is defined as either full or partial as follows: (i) full - all data elements are answered according to the Flow Charts; or (ii) partial - contains more than the Validation Data Set but does not provide all data elements according to the Flow Charts.

Based on information from some PSOs about the methodology needed to map data to comply with the Flow Charts, as well as other challenges to receiving meaningful data sets at the PSOPPC, the AHRQ PSO program revised the CFER-H specifications and implemented Core Data Sets with CFER-H V2.0. With input from the Federal Interagency Patient Safety Work Group, the Common Formats Expert Panel of the National Quality Forum (NQF), and the public, this version reduces the number of questions for each module with the goal of facilitating more complete submission of key data elements. As of March 31, 2018, data had not yet been received in CFER-H V2.0.

Some reports that were counted in the Data Submission Summary module were not counted in one of the specified modules of the CFER-H: the Generic Patient Safety Concern module: Blood or Blood Product; Device or Medical/Surgical Supply, Including Health Information Technology (Device or Medical/Surgical Supply); Fall; and Medication or Other Substance patient safety event-specific modules. The excluded reports contained information that is not within the intended scope of CFER-H. For example, 2,438 Medication or Other Substance events that were reported as Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action are considered outside the scope of CFER-H. Thus, these were excluded from report counts in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module and in the Medication or Other Substance module. It should also be noted that reports involving an Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action have no further information associated with them. For this reason, frequencies and percentages displayed in the Data Submission Summary module differ from those shown in other modules. Criteria for exclusion may be found in the CFER-H Event Descriptions. A complete list of exclusion criteria for CFER-H V1.2 is located in Appendix A.
Percentage of Total Reports by Common Formats Version

This figure shows the percentage of reports submitted using CFER-H V1.1 and CFER-H V1.2 as a percentage of all reports submitted. The total number of reports received by the PSOPPC was 270,098 for CFER-H V1.1 and 869,026 for CFER-H V1.2 for a combined total number of 1,139,124 reports. More than three-quarters (869,026 / 1,139,124; 76.3%) were submitted using CFER-H V1.2. Just under one-quarter (270,098 / 1,139,124; 23.7%) of the reports were submitted using the earlier version, CFER-H V1.1, which was retired in 2017. This pattern is consistent with the observations noted in the trend analysis in 2017 and 2018 (see figure: Cumulative Number of Reports Submitted by Common Formats Version by Year in the Data Submission Summary module, showing the movement of the field toward the adoption of the Common Formats over the first decade of the program, as the AHRQ PSO Program and PSOPPC offered technical assistance to PSOs to encourage and facilitate submission of data to the PSOPPC).

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.

Technical Notes

- Data represent all reports received between July 26, 2012 and March 31, 2018. INITIAL REPORT DATES for the data range from August 1, 2007 through March 31, 2018. The INITIAL REPORT DATE is when the report was entered into a data system at the

Note: Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
provider facility, which may have been on or after the date when the patient safety concern was observed. The median number of days between \textit{INITIAL REPORT DATE} and submission to the PSOPPC was 595 (1.6 years), with an interquartile range (25\textsuperscript{th} - 75\textsuperscript{th} percentiles) from 269 days (0.7 years) to 1,206 days (3.3 years). The full range of differences between \textit{INITIAL REPORT DATE} and submission date was 0 days to 3,474 days (9.5 years). Importantly, the initial submissions from many PSOs contained historical data that inflated the time between \textit{INITIAL REPORT DATE} and submission date.

- Some reports that were counted in the Data Submission Summary module were not counted in one of the specified modules of the CFER-H: the Generic Patient Safety Concern module: \textit{Blood or Blood Product; Device or Medical/Surgical Supply, Including Health Information Technology (Device or Medical/Surgical Supply); Fall; and Medication or Other Substance} patient safety event-specific modules. The excluded reports contained information that is not within the intended scope of CFER-H. For example, 2,438 \textit{Medication or Other Substance} events that were reported as \textit{Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action} are considered outside the scope of CFER-H. Thus, these were excluded from report counts in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module and in the \textit{Medication or Other Substance} module. It should also be noted that reports involving an \textit{Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action} have no further information associated with them. For this reason, frequencies and percentages displayed in the Data Submission Summary module differ from those shown in other modules. Criteria for exclusion may be found in the CFER-H Event Descriptions. A complete list of exclusion criteria for CFER-H V1.2 is located in Appendix A.

**Percentage of Total Reports by Report Type**

The data presented in this figure show the number of reports for each \textit{REPORT TYPE} submitted as a percentage of all reports using CFER-H V1.1 and CFER-H V1.2.

The CFER-H capture patient safety concerns in three \textit{REPORT TYPES}: \textit{Incidents}, \textit{Near misses} and \textit{Unsafe conditions}. An \textit{Incident} is a patient safety event that reached the patient, whether or not the patient was harmed. A \textit{Near miss} (often called a close call) is a patient safety event that transpired but did not reach the patient. An \textit{Unsafe condition} is any circumstance that increases the probability that a patient safety event may occur.

Approximately three-quarters (860,043 / 1,139,124; 75.5\%) of the reports submitted involved \textit{Incidents}, 19.0\% (216,549 / 1,139,124) were \textit{Near misses}, and 5.5\% (62,532 / 1,139,124) were \textit{Unsafe conditions}. Both near misses and unsafe conditions may occur more commonly in practice than incidents. Recognition and understanding of near misses and unsafe conditions can provide valuable learning opportunities about how to prevent patient harm.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Note: The total number of reports submitted via CFER-H V1.1 was 270,098; for CFER-H V1.2 the total was 869,026. The combined total number of reports was 1,139,124. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

- Technical Notes
  
  ■ Data represent all reports received between July 26, 2012 and March 31, 2018. **INITIAL REPORT DATES** for the data range from August 1, 2007 through March 31, 2018. The **INITIAL REPORT DATE** is when the report was entered into a data system at the provider facility, which may have been on or after the date when the patient safety concern was observed. The median number of days between **INITIAL REPORT DATE** and submission to the PSOPPC was 595 (1.6 years), with an interquartile range (25th-75th percentiles) from 269 days (0.7 years) to 1,206 days (3.3 years). The full range of differences between **INITIAL REPORT DATE** and submission date was 0 days to 3,474 days (9.5 years). Importantly, the initial submissions from many PSOs contained historical data that inflated the time between **INITIAL REPORT DATE** and submission date.

  ■ In CFER-H V1.1 and V1.2, the **REPORT TYPE** is found in the Healthcare Event Reporting Form (HERF) Data Element (DE) 3, in response to the question: “What is being reported?”

  ■ Some reports that were counted in the Data Submission Summary module were not counted in one of the specified modules of the CFER-H: the Generic Patient Safety Concern module:
Blood or Blood Product; Device or Medical/Surgical Supply, Including Health Information Technology (Device or Medical/Surgical Supply); Fall; and Medication or Other Substance patient safety event-specific modules. The excluded reports contained information that is not within the intended scope of CFER-H. For example, 2,438 Medication or Other Substance events that were reported as Adverse reaction in patient to administered substance without any apparent incorrect action are considered outside the scope of CFER-H. Thus, these were excluded from report counts in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module and in the Medication or Other Substance module. It should also be noted that reports involving an Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action have no further information associated with them. For this reason, frequencies and percentages displayed in the Data Submission Summary module differ from those shown in other modules. Criteria for exclusion may be found in the CFER-H Event Descriptions. A complete list of exclusion criteria for CFER-H V1.2 is located in Appendix A.

**Percentage of Event Type by Common Formats Version**

The data presented in this figure show the percentages of different EVENT TYPES. In addition to a REPORT TYPE, each patient safety concern is categorized by one or more EVENT TYPES describing the nature of the patient safety concern. CFER-H V1.2 recognizes nine specific EVENT TYPES and allows reporting of Other as well, although there is no module for Other.

Because each report could be related to more than one EVENT TYPE, a count by EVENT TYPES results in a larger sum than a count by REPORT TYPE.

The Other EVENT TYPE was included in the Common Formats to be used only for rare events that could not be classified as one of the nine categories of EVENT TYPE, making up a small percentage of all reports. The fact that Other was so widely used, noted in more than half of the reports submitted in CFER-H V1.2, is believed to be an artifact of the mapping strategies of the providers as they moved toward integrating Common Formats reporting with their pre-existing data systems.

The profiles of CFER-H V1.1 and CFER-H V1.2 data submissions by EVENT TYPE were broadly similar. Among the more evident differences were: (a) a smaller proportion of Other in CFER-H V1.2 compared to CFER-H V1.1 (397,312 / 870,256; 45.7% versus 153,170 / 272,915; 56.1%); and (b) a larger proportion of Medication or Other Substance in CFER-H V1.2 compared to CFER-H V1.1 (223,802 / 870,256; 25.7% versus 39,219 / 272,915; 14.4%).

Of the nine EVENT TYPES shown in this figure, which was derived from the Generic module, four are explored in more detail in event-specific modules: Blood or Blood Product; Device or Medical/Surgical Supply, Including Health Information Technology (Device or Medical/Surgical Supply); Fall; and Medication or Other Substance.

There are no detailed, event-specific figures for Healthcare-Associated Infection, Perinatal, Pressure Ulcer, Surgery or Anesthesia, or Venous Thromboembolism modules. Many AHRQ-listed PSOs were only able to capture a portion of all possible data elements, and their choice of how many, and which, elements to report varies by PSO and by provider. For these five modules, too few of the submitted reports were sufficiently complete to support detailed patient safety event-specific analyses. Three of these modules, Perinatal, Pressure Ulcer, and Surgery or Anesthesia, did contain enough information to be included in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module. Data received for the Healthcare-Associated Infection and Venous Thromboembolism modules were not
sufficient to support inclusion in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module.

AHRQ is aware that healthcare-associated infection (HAI) reporting using the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC) National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) is required by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) and many individual states. Also, PSOs have indicated that almost all providers are using NHSN for reporting and tracking HAIs. The low numbers of HAI reports received reflects the fact that reporting of HAIs through the Common Formats would be redundant at this time.

Given the small number (8,653) of CFER-H V1.2 Healthcare-Associated Infection reports submitted through March 31, 2018, AHRQ has elected not to report any Healthcare-Associated Infection data beyond the quantity of reports submitted at this time. Finally, while there is a recognized need to collect data on Venous Thromboembolism Incidents, the small number (201) of CFER-H V1.2 Venous Thromboembolism reports received was deemed insufficient for any analysis and, as with Healthcare-Associated Infection, AHRQ has chosen to report only the quantity of reports submitted at this time.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Note: The data presented indicate the events submitted via CFER-H V1.1 and CFER-H V1.2 within each event type as a percentage of all events associated with that Common Formats version.

Percentages sum to 100 within each CFER-H version, but the sum of percentages may not total 100 due to rounding. Events related to Health Information Technology (HIT) were added to the Device or Medical/Surgical Supply EVENT TYPE in CFER-H V1.2. The Venous Thromboembolism EVENT TYPE was added in CFER-H V1.2.

- Technical Notes

  - Data represent all reports received between July 26, 2012 and March 31, 2018. INITIAL REPORT DATES for the data range from August 1, 2007 through March 31, 2018. The INITIAL REPORT DATE is when the report was entered into a data system at the
provider facility, which may be on or after the date when the patient safety concern was observed. The median number of days between INITIAL REPORT DATE and submission to the PSOPPC was 595 (1.6 years), with an interquartile range (25\textsuperscript{th}-75\textsuperscript{th} percentiles) from 269 days (0.7 years) to 1,206 days (3.3 years). The full range of differences between INITIAL REPORT DATE and submission date was 0 days to 3,474 days (9.5 years). Importantly, the initial submissions from many PSOs contained historical data that inflated the time between INITIAL REPORT DATE and submission date.

The total number of reports submitted in CFER-H V1.1 and CFER-H V1.2 was 1,139,124, representing 1,143,171 separate EVENT TYPES. A total of 272,915 EVENT TYPES were identified in CFER-H V1.1; a total of 870,256 were identified in CFER-H V1.2.

In CFER-H V1.1 and V1.2, the EVENT TYPE is found in the HERF DE21 in response to the question: “Which of the following categories are associated with the event or unsafe condition?”

More than one EVENT TYPE may have been submitted in a single report because one person experienced multiple patient safety concerns, or because one patient safety concern involved multiple aspects. For example, the incorrect programming of an infusion pump may also have involved an incorrect medication, so that responses to both the Device or Medical/Surgical Supply and Medication or Other Substance EVENT TYPES were appropriate.

This Data Submission Summary figure presents summary information on all EVENT TYPES identified in all reports received by the PSOPPC. Therefore, percentages displayed in this figure differ from those reported in the other Data Submission Summary figures, as well as from the other figures related to the Generic Patient Safety Concern module, or those related to specific EVENT TYPES.

Some reports that are counted in the Data Submission Summary module were not counted in one of the specified modules of the CFER-H: the Generic Patient Safety Concern module: Blood or Blood Product; Device or Medical/Surgical Supply, Including Health Information Technology (Device or Medical/Surgical Supply); Fall; and Medication or Other Substance patient safety event-specific modules. Criteria for exclusion may be found in the CFER-H Event Descriptions. The excluded reports contained information that is not within the intended scope of CFER-H. For example, 2,438 Medication or Other Substance events that were reported as Adverse reaction in patient to administered substance without any apparent incorrect action are considered outside the scope of CFER-H. Thus, these were excluded from report counts in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module and in the Medication or Other Substance module. It should also be noted that reports involving an Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action have no further information associated with them. For this reason, frequencies and percentages displayed in the Data Submission Summary module differ from those shown in other modules. Criteria for exclusion may be found in the CFER-H Event Descriptions. A complete list of exclusion criteria for CFER-H V1.2 is located in Appendix A.

**GENERIC PATIENT SAFETY CONCERN**

The Generic Patient Safety Concern section provides a high-level overview of the numbers and categories of patient safety events reported in CFER-H V1.2. The distributions of the types of
events and unsafe conditions reported by PSOs, and descriptive statistics about the extent of residual harm experienced by patients who have been impacted by safety incidents are provided. These issues are studied in greater depth for four types of safety events (i.e., Blood or Blood Products, Falls, Device or Medical/Surgical Supply, and Medication or Other Substance) that have been the subject of the highest level of reporting. Specifically, the data submitted by the PSOs for these four types of patient safety events were the most complete with respect to reporting and provided the greatest amount of clinically relevant information. The data for the remaining event types in CFER-H V1.2 (Healthcare-Associated Infection, Perinatal, Pressure Ulcer, Surgery or Anesthesia, and Venous Thromboembolism) had larger amounts of missing data, making the results more difficult to interpret clinically. Harm is the physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is captured by AHRQ’s Harm Scale and is harm to the patient after discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences. While the AHRQ harm scale provides a basis for comparing harm across the different event types in CFER-H, it is noteworthy that the definitions associated with each response category include subjective assessments by reporters that may introduce some variability in the way specific events are reported.

The data presented in this section have initial report dates from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. These reports include a total of 854,580 events, of which 661,995 represent incidents where a safety concern reached a patient. Additionally, the data presented do not include reports that met the exclusion criteria for each of the event-specific modules in the CFER-H V1.2. A complete list of exclusion criteria for CFER-H V1.2 may be found in Appendix A.

**Percentage of Patient Safety Concerns (Event Types)**

This figure displays each type of patient safety concern (CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OR UNSAFE CONDITION [EVENT TYPE]) as a percentage of all EVENT TYPES identified in reports received by the PSOPPC in CFER-H V1.2, excluding the Healthcare-Associated Infection and Venous Thromboembolism EVENT TYPES. The totals differ from those presented in the Data Submission Summary module because some reports submitted in CFER-H V1.2 were outside the specific scope of the Common Formats and were excluded, and because AHRQ chose not to include Healthcare-Associated Infection and Venous Thromboembolism EVENT TYPES in this analysis for reasons discussed in the Data Limitations section. The most frequently reported EVENT TYPES were Other at 46.5% (397,256 / 854,580), Medication or Other Substance at 25.8% (220,201 / 854,580) and Fall at 10.8% (92,588 / 854,580).

Possible reasons for the large percentage of Other events reported to the PSOPPC include all of the following: the relatively rare specific events not captured by any of the event-specific modules; events that can be considered administrative matters that should not have been reported using the CFER-H; and issues encountered when mapping data from primary event-reporting systems into the CFER-H. In some cases, events that could have been captured in a CFER-H event-specific module (e.g., Medication and Other Substance, Fall, etc.) lacked compatible data fields and instead were mapped into Other.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Note: Reports had **INITIAL REPORT DATES** from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 854,580 **EVENT TYPES**, all of which are shown in this figure. The total number of **EVENT TYPES** is less than the total shown in the Data Submission Summary figures after application of exclusions and suppression of the *Healthcare-Associated Infection* and *Venous Thromboembolism* **EVENT TYPES** (please see the second Technical Note below for details). Reports could be associated with more than one **EVENT TYPE**. Percentages sum to 100 within each row, but the sum of percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

- **Technical Notes**
  - In CFER-H V1.2, the **EVENT TYPE** is found in the HERF DE21 in response to the question: “Which of the following categories are associated with the event or unsafe condition?” The **REPORT TYPE** is found in the HERF DE3 in response to the question: “What is being reported?”
  - Some reports submitted via CFER-H V1.2 that were counted in the Data Submission Summary module were not counted in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module. Criteria for exclusion may be found in the CFER-H Event Descriptions. The excluded reports contained information that is not within the intended scope of CFER-H. For example, 2,438 *Medication or Other Substance* events that were reported as *Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action* are outside the scope of CFER-H. Thus, these were excluded from report counts in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module. It should also be noted that reports involving an *Adverse reaction in
patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action have no further information associated with them. For this reason, frequencies and percentages displayed in the Data Submission Summary module differ from those shown in Generic Patient Safety Concern module. Criteria for exclusion may be found in the CFER-H Event Descriptions. A complete list of exclusion criteria for CFER-H V1.2 is located in Appendix A.

Report Type by Event Type

This figure examines the percentage of each CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OR UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) that were Incidents, Near misses, or Unsafe conditions. Incidents can be reported for any EVENT TYPE, but Incident is the only REPORT TYPE possible for Fall, Healthcare-Associated Infection, Perinatal, Pressure Ulcer, and Venous Thromboembolism; for these EVENT TYPES, 100% of REPORT TYPES are Incidents. Note, however, that AHRQ has chosen not to include Healthcare-Associated Infection and Venous Thromboembolism EVENT TYPES in this figure for reasons discussed in the Data Limitations section.

Incidents were the majority of each of the EVENT TYPES, with the largest proportion reported for Surgery or Anesthesia (37,482 / 47,741; 78.5%), followed by Other (298,963 / 397,256; 75.3%) Blood or Blood Product (9,260 / 12,721; 72.8%), Medication or Other Substance (147,767 / 220,201; 67.1%) and the lowest proportion in Device or Medical/Surgical Supply (10,544 / 18,682; 56.4%).

Five EVENT TYPES can be reported as Incidents or Near misses. For these EVENT TYPES Near misses were reported less frequently than Incidents, representing less than half of Device or Medical/Surgical Supply events (7,586 / 18,682; 40.6%), followed by Medication or Other Substance (65,815 / 220,201; 29.9%), Blood or Blood Product (3,262 / 12,721; 25.6%), Other (90,857 / 397,256; 22.9%), and Surgery or Anesthesia (10,259 / 47,741; 21.5%).

Four EVENT TYPES can be reported as Incidents, Near misses, or Unsafe conditions. For these event types, Unsafe conditions were always the smallest type of report within each EVENT TYPE. The largest proportion of Unsafe conditions was reported for Device or Medical/Surgical Supply (552 / 18,682; 3.0%), and Medication or Other Substance (6,619 / 220,201; 3.0%), followed by Other (7,436 / 397,256; 1.9%), and Blood or Blood Product (199 / 12,721; 1.6%).

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Report Type by Event Type

- **Blood or Blood Product**: 72.8% Incident, 25.6% Near Miss, 1.6% Unsafe Condition
- **Device or Medical/Surgical Supply**: 56.4% Incident, 40.6% Near Miss, 3.0% Unsafe Condition
- **Fall**: 100.0% Incident
- **Medication or Other Substance**: 67.1% Incident, 29.9% Near Miss, 3.0% Unsafe Condition
- **Perinatal**: 100.0% Incident
- **Pressure Ulcer**: 100.0% Incident
- **Surgery or Anesthesia**: 78.5% Incident, 21.5% Near Miss, 1.9% Unsafe Condition
- **Other**: 75.3% Incident, 22.9% Near Miss, 1.8% Unsafe Condition

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate the types of reports within each category of EVENT TYPE as a percentage of all events in that category, excluding Healthcare-Associated Infection and Venous Thromboembolism.

Reports had initial report dates from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 854,580 EVENT TYPES, all of which are shown in this figure. The total number of EVENT TYPES is less than the total shown in the Data Submission Summary module after application of exclusions and suppression of the Healthcare-Associated Infection and Venous Thromboembolism EVENT TYPES (please see the second Technical Note below for details). Reports could be associated with more than one EVENT TYPE. Percentages sum to 100 within each row, but the sum of percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.
Report Type by Event Type (Data Table)

Throughout the NPSD Chartbook, the eligible population for a number of sections can be derived from the numbers provided in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Incident</th>
<th>Near Miss</th>
<th>Unsafe Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood or Blood Product</td>
<td>12,721</td>
<td>9,260</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td>3,262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device or Medical/Surgical Supply</td>
<td>18,682</td>
<td>10,544</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
<td>7,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>92,588</td>
<td>92,588</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication or Other Substance</td>
<td>220,201</td>
<td>147,767</td>
<td>67.1%</td>
<td>65,815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinatal</td>
<td>17,806</td>
<td>17,806</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Ulcer</td>
<td>47,585</td>
<td>47,585</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery or Anesthesia</td>
<td>47,741</td>
<td>37,482</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td>10,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>397,256</td>
<td>298,963</td>
<td>75.3%</td>
<td>90,857</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NA indicates that there were no reports for that category of EVENT TYPE.

- Technical Note

  - In CFER-H V1.2, the EVENT TYPE is found in the HERF DE21 in response to the question: “Which of the following categories are associated with the event or unsafe condition?” The REPORT TYPE is found in the HERF DE3 in response to the question: “What is being reported?”

  - Some reports submitted via CHER-H V1.2 that were counted in the Data Submission Summary module were not counted in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module. The excluded reports contained information that is not within the intended scope of CFER-H. For example, 2,438 Medication or Other Substance events that were reported as Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action are considered outside the scope of CFER-H. Thus, these were excluded from report counts in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module and in the Medication or Other Substance module. It should also be noted that reports involving an Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action have no further information associated with them. For this reason, frequencies and percentages displayed in the Data Submission Summary module differ from those shown in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module. Criteria for exclusion may be found in the CFER-H Event Descriptions. A
complete list of exclusion criteria for CFER-H V1.2 is located in Appendix A.

**Extent of Harm by Event Type**

CFER-H V1.2 captures data regarding harm arising from or associated with plans or actions taken during the provision of healthcare rather than an underlying disease or injury. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. This figure displays EXTENT OF HARM experienced by patients affected by Incidents within each of the CATEGORIES ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OR UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPES) defined for CFER-H V1.2. Note, however, that AHRQ has chosen not to include Healthcare-Associated Infection and Venous Thromboembolism EVENT TYPES in this figure for reasons discussed in the Data Limitations section.

CFER-H V1.2 captures an assessment of the extent of harm to the patient after discovery of the incident and after any attempts to minimize adverse consequences, called residual harm in these figures. The AHRQ Harm Scale captures these data and provides the following possible responses: No harm, Unknown harm, Mild harm, Moderate harm, Severe harm, or Death. While Unknown harm is displayed in this figure, it is not described further.

Across all EVENT TYPES included in this analysis, some level of harm (i.e., Mild harm, Moderate harm, Severe harm, or Death) was reported in 41.6% (257,176 / 618,770) of Incident events where the EXTENT OF HARM was known.

Where the EXTENT OF HARM was known (i.e., excluding Incidents with Unknown harm), the EVENT TYPES for which the largest proportion of Incidents involved some level of harm were Pressure Ulcer (34,009 / 47,110; 72.2%) and Perinatal (9,428 / 17,475; 54.0%).

The EVENT TYPES with the smallest proportion of harm reported among Incidents where the EXTENT OF HARM was known were Blood or Blood Product (2,991 / 9,010; 33.2%), Fall (28,212 / 84,990; 33.2%), and Device or Medical/Surgical Supply (3,191 / 10,024; 31.8%).

The EVENT TYPES with the largest proportion of patient deaths reported among Incidents where the EXTENT OF HARM was known were Surgery or Anesthesia (438 / 36,396; 1.2%) and Other (2,985 / 288,503; 1.0%). For no other EVENT TYPE did the proportion of deaths exceed 0.5%.

No harm was reported for more than one-quarter (13,101 / 47,110; 27.8%) of Pressure Ulcer Incidents where the EXTENT OF HARM was known. This was unexpected, as pressure ulcers, like HAIs and venous thromboembolism (VTE), result in harm to the patient by their very nature. Reports of No harm for these incidents reflect a misinterpretation of the CFER-H V1.2 question regarding the EXTENT OF HARM: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?” A report of No harm for a pressure ulcer suggests that the reporter perceived no residual harm because the patient recovered. However, the EXTENT OF HARM for these incidents should never be reported as No harm; it should always be at least Mild harm.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Extent of Harm by Event Type

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate the EXTENT OF HARM experienced by patients within each EVENT TYPE as a percentage of all Incidents associated with that EVENT TYPE, excluding Healthcare-Associated Infection and Venous Thromboembolism.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 661,995 Incidents (available on the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). Reports could be associated with more than one EVENT TYPE. Information on EXTENT OF HARM was available for the 626,190 Incidents shown in this figure, which is 94.6% (626,190 / 661,995) of the eligible sample. Percentages sum to 100 within rows, but the sum of percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.
## Extent of Harm by Event Type (Data Table)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>No Harm</th>
<th>Mild Harm</th>
<th>Moderate Harm</th>
<th>Severe Harm</th>
<th>Death</th>
<th>Unknown Harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood or Blood Product</td>
<td>66.3%</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device or Medical/Surgical Supply</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>66.5%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication or Other Substance</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinatal</td>
<td>45.9%</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Ulcer</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>68.3%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery or Anesthesia</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Technical Notes

- In CFER-H V1.2, the **EXTENT OF HARM** in the Patient Information Form (PIF) is DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?” **EVENT TYPE** in the HERF is DE21 in response to the question: “Which of the following categories are associated with the event or unsafe condition?”

- Some reports that were counted in the Data Submission Summary module were not counted in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module. The excluded reports contained information that is not within the intended scope of CFER-H. For example, 2,438 Medication or Other Substance events that were reported as *Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action* are considered outside the scope of CFER-H. Thus, these were excluded from report counts in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module. It should also be noted that reports involving an *Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action* have no further information associated with them. For this reason, frequencies and percentages displayed in the Data Submission Summary module differ from those shown in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module. Criteria for exclusion may be found in the CFER-H Event Descriptions. A complete list of exclusion criteria for CFER-H V1.2 is located in Appendix A.

### Event Type by Extent of Harm

This figure illustrates the extent to which incidents associated with each **CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE)** contributed to various levels of harm. Note, however, that AHRQ has chosen not to include *Healthcare-Associated Infection* and *Venous Thromboembolism EVENT TYPES* in this for reasons discussed in the Data Limitations section.

Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. CFER-H V1.2 captures an assessment of the extent of harm to the patient after discovery of the incident and after any attempts to minimize adverse consequences, called residual harm in these figures. The AHRQ Harm Scale captures these data and provides the following possible responses: *No harm, Unknown harm*, or, if harm is known to have occurred, it is described as **Mild harm**,
Moderate harm, Severe harm, or Death.

Other EVENT TYPE Incidents contributed the highest proportion within each level of harm, ranging from a low in Mild harm of 41.3% (93,473 / 226,412), to a high in Death of 78.1% (2,985 / 3,821).

Among Incidents associated with Death, the most commonly reported specific EVENT TYPES (that is, excluding Other) were Surgery or Anesthesia (438 / 3,821; 11.5%) and Medication or Other Substance (139 / 3,821; 3.6%).

Among Incidents with Severe harm, the most commonly reported specific EVENT TYPE (excluding Other) was Surgery or Anesthesia (445 / 2,904; 15.3%), followed by Fall (419 / 2,904; 14.4%).

Among Incidents with Mild harm and Moderate harm levels, the specific EVENT TYPES (excluding Other) reported most often were Medication or Other Substance (52,469 / 250,451; 20.9%) and Pressure Ulcer (33,869 / 250,451; 13.5%).

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Event Type by Extent of Harm

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate Incident events in each EVENT TYPE (excluding Healthcare-Associated Infection and Venous Thromboembolism) as a percentage of Incidents in each EXTENT OF HARM category.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 661,995 Incidents (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). Reports could be associated with more than one EVENT TYPE. Information on EXTENT OF HARM was available for the 626,190 Incidents shown in this figure, which is 94.6% (626,190 / 661,995) of the eligible sample. Percentages sum to 100 within columns, but the sum of the percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.
• Technical Notes

- In CFER-H V1.2, the **EXTENT OF HARM** is found in the PIF DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?” **EVENT TYPE** is found in the HERF DE21 in response to the question: “Which of the following categories are associated with the event or unsafe condition?”

- Some reports submitted via CFER-H V1.2 that were counted in the Data Submission Summary module may not be counted in the Generic Patient Safety Concern. The excluded reports contained information that is not within the intended scope of CFER-H. For example, 2,438 *Medication or Other Substance* events that were reported as *Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action* are considered outside the scope of CFER-H. Thus, these were excluded from report counts in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module and in the *Medication or Other Substance* module. It should also be noted that reports involving an *Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action* have no further information associated with them. For this reason, frequencies and percentages displayed in the Data Submission Summary module differ from those shown in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module. Criteria for exclusion may be found in the CFER-H Event Descriptions. A complete list of exclusion criteria for CFER-H V1.2 is located in Appendix A.

**Extent of Harm**

This figure displays *Incident* events associated with residual harm to patients. Note, however, that AHRQ has chosen not to include *Healthcare-Associated Infection* and *Venous Thromboembolism* **EVENT TYPES** in this figure for reasons discussed in the Data Limitations section.

Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences. The AHRQ Harm Scale provides the following possible responses: *No harm*, *Mild harm*, *Moderate harm*, *Severe harm*, *Death*, or *Unknown harm*.

Across all *Incident* events included in this analysis where **EXTENT OF HARM** was reported, *No harm* and *Mild harm* were reported most frequently. Combined, they comprised 93.9% (588,006 / 626,190) of *Incidents* with **EXTENT OF HARM** reported.

Among *Incidents* where the **EXTENT OF HARM** was reported, the most commonly reported category of **EXTENT OF HARM** was *No harm* for the majority of **CATEGORIES ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OR UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPES)**. Across two **EVENT TYPES**, however, *Mild harm* was more commonly reported: a total of 68.3% (32,234 / 47,199) of *Pressure Ulcers Incidents* were categorized as *Mild harm*, and a total of 48.5% (8,490 / 17,517) of *Perinatal Incidents* were categorized as *Mild harm*.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate *Incident* events in each harm category as a percentage of all *Incident* events, excluding *Healthcare-Associated Infection* and *Venous Thromboembolism Incidents*, with data on EXTENT OF HARM.

Reports had **INITIAL REPORT DATES** from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 661,995 *Incidents* (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). Reports could be associated with more than one EVENT TYPE. Information on EXTENT OF HARM was available for the 626,190 *Incidents* shown in this figure, which is 94.6% (626,190 / 661,995) of the eligible sample. Percentages sum to 100 within rows, but the sum of percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

- **Technical Notes**
  - In CFER-H V1.2, the EXTENT OF HARM is found in the PIF DE55 in response to the question: "After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?" EVENT TYPE is found in the HERF DE21 in response to the question: “Which of the following categories are associated with the event or unsafe condition?”
  - Some reports submitted via CFER-H V1.2 that were counted in the Data Submission Summary module were not counted in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module. The excluded reports contained information that is not within the intended scope of CFER-H. For example, 2,438 *Medication or Other Substance* events that were reported as *Adverse*...
reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action are considered outside the scope of CFER-H. Thus, these were excluded from report counts in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module. It should also be noted that reports involving an Adverse reaction in patient to the administered substance without any apparent incorrect action have no further information associated with them. For this reason, frequencies and percentages displayed in the Data Submission Summary module differ from those shown in Generic Patient Safety Concern module. Criteria for exclusion may be found in the CFER-H Event Descriptions. A complete list of exclusion criteria for CFER-H V1.2 is located in Appendix A.

BLOOD OR BLOOD PRODUCT

The Blood or Blood Product module of CFER-H V1.2 collects reports of events and unsafe conditions involving the processing and/or administration of blood or blood products. The module collects data on the specific processes of care involved and does not require that a patient outcome be identified.

Even without specific event rates, data regarding the relative frequencies of types of products involved in reports, the processes of care where reported events are originating, and data regarding residual harm, will be informative for patient safety improvements.

The following figures present summary information from the Blood or Blood Product reports received by the PSOPPC that met inclusion and exclusion criteria. Therefore, percentages displayed in these figures are expected to differ from those presented in the Data Submission Summary module. Specific exclusions from Blood or Blood Product reports are:

- Blood and blood product collection and other processes prior to receipt of the product by the blood bank
- Incidents involving adverse reaction during or following administration without any apparent incorrect action

Extent of Harm

This figure displays the reports of residual harm to patients from Blood or Blood Product Incidents. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences. The AHRQ Harm Scale provides the following possible responses: No harm, Mild harm, Moderate harm, Severe harm, Death, or Unknown harm. While Unknown harm is displayed in this figure, it is not described further.

Among Blood or Blood Product Incidents where the EXTENT OF HARM was known (i.e., excluding Unknown harm), the majority resulted in either No harm (6,019 / 9,010; 66.8%) or Mild harm (2,718 / 9,010; 30.2%).

Only 0.3% (24 / 9,010) of Blood or Blood Product Incidents where the EXTENT OF HARM was known resulted in Death, 0.8% (75 / 9,010) resulted in Severe harm, and 1.9% (174 / 9,010) resulted in Moderate harm.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate the number of Blood or Blood Product Incident reports resulting in various levels of harm reported as a percentage of all Blood or Blood Product Incident reports with information on harm.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 9,260 Blood or Blood Product Incident reports (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). A total of 9,076 Blood or Blood Product Incident reports included information on EXTENT OF HARM, which was reported for 98.0% (9,076 / 9,260) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

- **Technical Notes**
  
  - In CFER-H V1.2, **EXTENT OF HARM** in the PIF is DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention).idea”
  
  - The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Blood or Blood Product EVENT TYPE excludes patient safety concerns arising prior to receipt of the blood or blood product by the blood bank. Also excluded from CFER-H V1.2 were incidents involving adverse reactions during or following administration without any apparent incorrect action.
Type of Blood Product

This figure presents the distribution of reports of Blood or Blood Product patient safety concerns (i.e., Incidents, Near misses, and Unsafe conditions) by TYPE OF BLOOD PRODUCT involved. CFER-H V1.2 data show the number of Blood or Blood Product reports involving different types of blood products as a percentage of all Blood or Blood Product reports with data for TYPE OF BLOOD PRODUCT. CFER-H V1.2 captures data for 12 types of blood products, including Other blood product.

The TYPE OF BLOOD PRODUCT most frequently involved was Red blood cells at 67.1% (2,461 / 3,665) followed by Plasma at 11.2% (411 / 3,665) and Platelets at 9.0% (329 / 3,665).

Granulocytes* was among the least frequently reported Types of blood product, along with Albumin (8 / 3,665, 0.2%), IV immunoglobulin (2 / 3,665, 0.1%) and Factors (e.g., VII, VIII, IX, and AT III) (4 / 3,665, 0.1%). To date, there have been no Blood or Blood Product reports regarding Lymphocytes.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.

Note: *The frequency for this response category was suppressed to meet nonidentification requirements.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 12,721 Blood or Blood Product reports (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). A total of 3,665
Blood or Blood Product reports included information on **TYPE OF BLOOD PRODUCT**; these represent 28.8% (3,665 / 12,721) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding and suppression.

- **Technical Notes**
  - In CFER-H V1.2, **TYPE OF BLOOD PRODUCT** in the Blood or Blood Product module is DE114 in response to the question: “What type of blood product was involved in the event or unsafe condition?”
  - The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Blood or Blood Product **EVENT TYPE** excludes patient safety concerns arising prior to receipt of the blood or blood product by the blood bank. Also excluded from CFER-H V1.2 were incidents involving adverse reactions during or following administration without any apparent incorrect action.

**Type of Blood Product by Extent of Harm**

This figure compares the distribution of residual harm to the distribution of no residual harm for each **TYPE OF BLOOD PRODUCT** as reported in Blood or Blood Product **Incident** reports. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences.

*Red blood cells* were involved in 70.1% (1,600 / 2,284) of all **Incidents** shown in this figure, which may reflect their high frequency of use. More harm was associated with **Incidents** involving *Red blood cells* than with any other **TYPE OF BLOOD PRODUCT**, accounting for 42.4% (42 / 99) of all reported harm.

Overall, where the **TYPE OF BLOOD PRODUCT** was reported, the proportion of **Incidents** with residual harm was relatively low (99 / 2,284; 4.3%). Despite having the largest number of **Incidents** resulting in harm that involved *Red blood cells*, the proportion of **Incidents** involving *Red blood cells* that resulted in residual harm was 2.6% (42 / 1,600). Among other **TYPES OF BLOOD PRODUCT** that were less frequently reported, the proportion with residual harm was often higher, including *Platelets* (21 / 191; 11.0%) and *Plasma* (14 / 222; 6.3%).

Please note: For this figure, all **Incident** reports with **EXTENT OF HARM** reported were classified as either No Harm, or Harm (i.e., *Mild harm*, *Moderate harm*, *Severe harm* or *Death*). Reports of *Unknown harm* were excluded from the analysis.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Type of Blood Product by Extent of Harm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Product</th>
<th>No Harm</th>
<th>Harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red blood cells</td>
<td>71.3%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other blood product</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole blood</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RhiG</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryoprecipitate</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors (e.g., VII, VIII, IX, AT III)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV immunoglobulin</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulocytes</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * The frequency for this response category was suppressed to meet nonidentification requirements.

The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate the number of *Blood or Blood Product Incidents* that were reported for each **TYPE OF BLOOD PRODUCT** as a percentage of all reports with data for **TYPE OF BLOOD PRODUCT** and **EXTENT OF HARM**, stratified by whether the patient experienced a harm or not.

Reports had **INITIAL REPORT DATES** from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 9,260 *Blood or Blood Product Incident* reports (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). A total of 2,284 *Blood or Blood Product Incident* reports included information on **TYPE OF BLOOD PRODUCT**, and **EXTENT OF HARM**; this represented 24.7% (2,284 / 9,260) of the eligible sample. Percentages sum to 100 within Harm and No Harm columns, but the sum of percentages shown may not total 100 due to rounding and suppression.

- **Technical Notes**
  - In CFER-H V1.2, **TYPE OF BLOOD PRODUCT** in the *Blood or Blood Product* module is DE114 in response to the question: “What type of blood product was involved in the event or unsafe condition?” **EXTENT OF HARM** in the PIF is DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient.
from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?”

- The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Blood or Blood Products EVENT TYPE excludes patient safety concerns arising prior to receipt of the blood or blood product by the blood bank. Also excluded from CFER-H V1.2 were incidents involving adverse reactions during or following administration without any apparent incorrect action.

### Stage of the Process When Blood or Blood Product Event Originated

This figure presents the distribution of reports of Blood or Blood Product patient safety events (i.e., Incidents or Near misses) for the stage of **PROCESS WHEN BLOOD OR BLOOD PRODUCT EVENT ORIGINATED**. CFER-H V1.2 captures data on 16 different stages of the process from collection to administration of blood or blood products in the hospital. These data are only captured for Blood or Blood Product events (i.e., Incidents or Near misses) involving an incorrect action. For these events, the stage in the process most frequently reported as the point of origination was Post-transfusion or administration (440 / 2,341; 18.8%), followed by Other process (308 / 2,341; 13.2%), and Sample collection (308 / 2,341; 13.2%). No other stage of the process was identified in more than 10.0% of Blood or Blood Product events.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
### Stage of the Process When Blood or Blood Product Event Originated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of the Process</th>
<th>Percentage of Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-transfusion or administration</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other process</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample collection</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product test or request</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product issue</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request for pickup</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product administration</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available for issue</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample handling</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample testing</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product storage</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product check-in</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product selection</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product manipulation</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample receipt</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *The frequency for this response category was suppressed to meet nonidentification requirements.

The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate the number of patient safety events associated with different stages of the PROCESS WHEN BLOOD OR BLOOD PRODUCT EVENT ORIGINATED as a percentage of all Blood or Blood Product events reported as involving an incorrect action and having data on the process.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 3,657 Blood or Blood Product Incident or Near miss reports involving an Incorrect action. A total of 2,341 Incident or Near miss reports included information on the stages of the PROCESS WHEN BLOOD OR BLOOD PRODUCT EVENT ORIGINATED, which was reported for 64.0% (2,341 / 3,657) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding and suppression.
Technical Notes

■ In CFER-H V1.2, **PROCESS WHEN BLOOD OR BLOOD PRODUCT EVENT ORIGINATED** in the *Blood or Blood Product* module is DE138 in response to the question: “During which stage did the event originate (regardless of the stage when it was discovered)?”

■ The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 *Blood or Blood Products* CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OR UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) excludes patient safety concerns arising prior to receipt of the blood or blood product by the blood bank. Also excluded from CFER-H V1.2 were incidents involving adverse reactions during or following administration without any apparent incorrect action.

**Stage of the Process When Blood or Blood Product Event Originated by Extent of Harm**

This figure compares the distribution of residual harm to the distribution of no residual harm for events that originated at various stages in the process of administering blood or blood products (**PROCESS WHEN BLOOD OR BLOOD PRODUCT EVENT ORIGINATED**), as reported in *Blood or Blood Product Incident* reports. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences.

More than one-quarter (380 / 1,392; 27.3%) of *Incidents* involving preparation or administration of *Blood or Blood Products* were reported to have occurred *Post-transfusion or administration*. However, the largest number of total harm events (18 / 61; 29.5%) occurred during *Product administration*, even though this stage of the **PROCESS WHEN BLOOD OR BLOOD PRODUCT EVENT ORIGINATED** accounted for only 7.7% (107 / 1,392) of *Incidents* shown on this figure.

Across all *Incidents* where **PROCESS WHEN BLOOD OR BLOOD PRODUCT EVENT ORIGINATED** was reported, the proportion resulting in harm to patients was 4.4% (61 / 1,392). Other points in the process of preparing or administering *Blood or Blood Products* were associated with considerably higher proportions of residual harm: *Product administration* (18 / 107; 16.8%); *Product test or request* (11 / 105; 10.5%); and *Product selection* (2 / 20; 10.0%).

Please note: For this figure, all *Incident* reports with **EXTENT OF HARM** reported were classified as either No Harm, or Harm (i.e., *Mild harm*, *Moderate harm*, *Severe harm* or *Death*). Reports of *Unknown harm* were excluded from the analysis.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Stage of the Process When Blood or Blood Product Event Originated by Extent of Harm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of the Process</th>
<th>Percentage with No Harm</th>
<th>Percentage with Harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-transfusion or administration</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample collection</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other process</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product administration</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product test or request</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product issue</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request for pickup</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available for issue</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample handling</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample testing</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product check-in</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product selection</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product manipulation</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product storage</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample receipt</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *The frequency for this response category was suppressed to meet nonidentification requirements.

The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate the number of Blood or Blood Product Incidents originating during different stages of the process of care as a percentage of all Blood or Blood Products Incident reports involving an Incorrect action and having data on the stage of the PROCESS WHEN BLOOD OR BLOOD PRODUCT EVENT ORIGINATED and EXTENT OF HARM, stratified by whether the patient experienced harm or not.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 2,513 Blood or Blood Product Incident reports involving an Incorrect action. A total of 1,392 Blood or Blood Product Incident reports included data for the PROCESS WHEN BLOOD OR BLOOD PRODUCT EVENT ORIGINATED and EXTENT OF HARM; this represented 55.4% (1,392 / 2,513) of the eligible sample. Percentages sum to 100
within Harm and No Harm columns, but the sum of percentages shown may not total 100 due to rounding and suppression.

- Technical Notes
  - In CFER-H V1.2, **PROCESS WHEN BLOOD OR BLOOD PRODUCT EVENT ORIGINATED** in the *Blood or Blood Product* module is DE138 in response to the question: “During which stage did the event originate (regardless of the stage when it was discovered)?” **EXTENT OF HARM** in the PIF is DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?”
  
  - The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 *Blood or Blood Product EVENT TYPE* excludes patient safety concerns arising prior to receipt of the blood or blood product by the blood bank. Also excluded from CFER-H V1.2 were incidents involving adverse reactions during or following administration without any apparent incorrect action.

**DEVICE OR MEDICAL/SURGICAL SUPPLY, INCLUDING HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (HIT)**

The *Device or Medical/Surgical Supply, Including Health Information Technology (Device or Medical/Surgical Supply)* EVENT TYPE of CFER-H V1.2 collects reports of events and unsafe conditions involving a defect, failure, or incorrect use of a device, including devices using Health Information Technology (HIT).

The module collects data on whether the event or Unsafe condition involved an error in the device, use error, or a combination of the two. It does not require that a patient outcome be identified.

Even without specific event rates, data regarding the types of products involved in reports, the processes of care where reported events are originating, and data regarding residual harm, will be informative for patient safety improvements.

These figures present summary information from the *Device or Medical/Surgical Supply* reports received by the PSOPPC that met inclusion and exclusion criteria. Therefore, percentages displayed in these figures are expected to differ from those presented in the Data Submission Summary module. The exclusion criteria for *Device or Medical/Surgical Supply* reports are:

- Defects or events discovered prior to market approval or clinical deployment

**Extent of Harm**

This figure displays the reports of residual harm to patients reported as *Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incidents*. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences. The AHRQ Harm Scale provides the following possible responses: *No harm, Mild harm, Moderate harm, Severe harm, Death, or Unknown harm.* While *Unknown harm* is displayed in this figure, it is not described further.

Among *Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incidents* where the **EXTENT OF HARM** was known
(i.e., excluding Unknown harm), the majority resulted in No harm (6,833 / 10,024; 68.2%) or Mild harm (2,823 / 10,024; 28.2%).

Death resulted in 0.4% (41 / 10,024) of Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incidents; 0.4% (38 / 10,024) resulted in Severe harm, and 2.9% (289 / 10,024) resulted in Moderate harm.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.

**Extent of Harm**

![Graph showing Extent of Harm](image)

Note: The data presented indicate Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incident reports in CFER-H V1.2 that resulted in various levels of harm as a percentage of all Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incidents with data for EXTENT OF HARM.

Reports had **INITIAL REPORT DATES** from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure included 10,544 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incident reports (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). A total of 10,227 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incident reports included data on EXTENT OF HARM; these represented 97.0% (10,227 / 10,544) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

- **Technical Notes**
  - In CFER-H V1.2, the EXTENT OF HARM in the PIF is DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient
from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?”

- The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OR UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) does not capture defects or events discovered prior to market approval or clinical deployment.

**Type of Device**

This figure presents the distribution of reports of Device or Medical/Surgical Supply patient safety concerns (i.e., Incidents, Near misses, and Unsafe conditions) by TYPE OF DEVICE involved. CFER-H V1.2 data show the number of Device or Medical/Surgical Supply reports involving different TYPES OF DEVICES as a percentage of all Device or Medical/Surgical Supply reports. CFER-H V1.2 captures data for four TYPES OF DEVICES.

_Medical equipment (e.g., walker, hearing aid) (3,542 / 6,460; 54.8%)_ was reported to be involved in an event or Unsafe condition more than twice as often as any of the other three types of devices. _Medical/surgical supply, including disposable product, (e.g., incontinence supply) was involved in 26.4% (1,708 / 6,460) of Incidents, Near misses, or Unsafe conditions, and HIT devices were involved in 13.1% (847 / 6,460)._  

_Implantable device (i.e., device intended to be inserted into, and remain permanently in, tissue) was the least frequently reported TYPE OF DEVICE, accounting for 5.6% (363 / 6,460) of all Device or Medical/Surgical Supply reports._

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Type of Device

Note: Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure is 18,682 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incident, Near miss, or Unsafe condition reports (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). A total of 6,460 reports included information on TYPE OF DEVICE, which was reported for 34.6% (6,460 / 18,682) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

- Technical Notes
  - In CFER-H V1.2, the TYPE OF DEVICE in the Device or Medical/Surgical Supply module is Data Element (DE) 141 in response to the question: “What type of device was involved in the event or unsafe condition?”
  
  - The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OR UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) does not capture defects or events discovered prior to market approval or clinical deployment.

Type of Device by Extent of Harm

This figure compares the distribution of residual harm to the distribution of no residual harm by TYPE OF DEVICE as reported in Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incident reports. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as
prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences.

Medical equipment (e.g., walker, hearing aid) accounted for more than half (1,517 / 2,765; 54.9%) of all Incidents shown in this figure. This broad category of devices also accounted for more than half (220 / 413; 53.3%) of all residual harm shown in this figure. In contrast, the TYPE OF DEVICE least frequently involved in Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incidents was Implantable device (i.e., device intended to be inserted into, and remain permanently in, tissue) (194 / 2,765; 7.0%), and the TYPE OF DEVICE accounting for the smallest number of harm events was HIT Device (20 / 413; 4.8%).

Across all TYPES OF DEVICE, the proportion of Incidents that resulted in patient residual harm was 14.9% (413 / 2,765). Among Incidents involving Implantable devices (i.e., device intended to be inserted into, and remain permanently in, tissue), 24.7% (48 / 194) were associated with residual harm, which was the highest proportion among all TYPES OF DEVICE. The lowest proportion of residual harm was associated with HIT devices (20 / 301; 6.6%).

Please note: For this figure, all Incident reports with EXTENT OF HARM reported were classified as either No Harm, or Harm (i.e., Mild harm, Moderate harm, Severe harm or Death). Reports of Unknown harm were excluded from the analysis.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
### Type of Device by Extent of Harm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Device</th>
<th>Percentage with No Harm</th>
<th>Percentage with Harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical equipment (e.g., walker, hearing aid)</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical/surgical supply, including disposable product (e.g., incontinence supply)</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIT device</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implantable device (i.e., device intended to be inserted into, and remain permanently in, tissue)</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate patient safety *Incident* reports that were reported for each TYPE OF DEVICE as a percentage of all *Incident* reports with information on TYPE OF DEVICE and EXTENT OF HARM, stratified by whether the patient experienced harm or not.

Reports had **INITIAL REPORT DATES** from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure included 10,544 *Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incidents* (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). A total of 2,765 *Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incident* reports included information on **TYPE OF DEVICE** and **EXTENT OF HARM**; this represented 26.2% (2,765 / 10,544) of the eligible sample. Percentages sum to 100 within Harm and No Harm columns, but the sum of percentages shown may not total 100 due to rounding.

### Technical Notes

- In CFER-H V1.2, the **TYPE OF DEVICE** in the *Device or Medical/Surgical Supply* module is Data Element (DE) 141 in response to the question: “What type of device was involved in the event or unsafe condition?” The **EXTENT OF HARM** in the PIF DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?”

- The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 *Device or Medical/Surgical Supply CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OR UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE)* does not capture defects or events discovered prior to market approval or clinical
Device Event Description

This figure presents the distribution of reports of Device or Medical/Surgical Supply patient safety concerns (i.e., Incidents, Near misses, and Unsafe conditions) by DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION. The figure shows each category of DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION as a percentage of all Device or Medical/Surgical Supply reports.

Most frequently reported was Device defect or failure, including HIT (1,429 / 3,719; 38.4%). Use error was reported in 21.4% of Device or Medical/Surgical Supply reports (797 / 3,719). A Combination or interaction of device defect or failure and use error was reported in 5.9% (220 / 3,719) of cases; however, 34.2% (1,273 / 3,719) of reports were reported as Unknown.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Device Event Description

- Device defect or failure, including HIT: 38.4%
- Unknown: 34.2%
- Use error: 21.4%
- Combination or interaction of device defect or failure and use error: 5.9%

Note: Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible population for this figure is 18,682 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incident, Near Miss, or Unsafe condition reports (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). A total of 3,719 reports included information on DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION, which was reported for 19.9% (3,719 / 18,682) of the eligible population. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

- Technical Notes
  - In CFER-H V1.2, the DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION in the Device or Medical/Surgical Supply module is DE56 in response to the question: “Which of the following best describes the event or unsafe condition?”
  - The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) does not capture defects or events discovered prior to market approval or clinical deployment.

Device Event Description by Extent of Harm

This figure compares the distribution of residual harm to the distribution of no residual harm by DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION as reported in Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incident reports. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to
minimize adverse consequences.

Device defect or failure, including HIT was the most frequently reported category of DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION, accounting for 40.2% (782 / 1,944) of all Incidents shown in this figure. Device defect or failure, including HIT also accounted for 35.0% (114 / 326) of residual harm across all categories of DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION.

Across all Incidents where DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION was reported, 16.8% (326 / 1,944) of reports were associated with residual patient harm. The category of DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION with the largest proportion of residual patient harm was Combination or interaction of device defect or failure and use error at 22.9% (32 / 140). The category with the smallest proportion of residual patient harm was Device defect or failure, including HIT at 14.6% (114 / 782).

Please note: For this figure, all Incident reports with EXTENT OF HARM reported are classified as either No Harm, or Harm (i.e., Mild harm, Moderate harm, Severe harm or Death). Reports of Unknown harm were excluded from the analysis.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.

Device Event Description by Extent of Harm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>No Harm</th>
<th>Harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Device defect or failure including HIT</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use error</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination or interaction of device defect or failure and use error</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate the number of patient safety Incidents that were reported for each type of DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION as a percentage of all Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incidents with data on DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION and EXTENT
OF HARM, stratified by whether the patient experienced a harm or not.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 10,544 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incident reports (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). Reports included 1,944 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incidents with data on DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION and EXTENT OF HARM; this represented 18.4% (1,944 / 10,544) of the eligible sample. Percentages sum to 100 within Harm and No Harm columns, but the sum of percentages shown may not total 100 due to rounding.

- Technical Notes
  - In CFER-H V1.2, DEVICE EVENT DESCRIPTION in the Device or Medical/Surgical Supply module is DE156 in response to the question: “Which of the following best describes the event or unsafe condition?” EXTENT OF HARM in the PIF is DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?”
  - The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) does not capture defects or events discovered prior to market approval or clinical deployment.

HIT Device Related to Event or Unsafe Condition

This figure presents the distribution of HIT DEVICE RELATED TO EVENT OR UNSAFE CONDITION (HIT-RELATED DEVICE) among Device or Medical/Surgical Supply patient safety concerns (i.e., Incidents, Near misses, and Unsafe conditions) that were identified as involving a HIT-related device. CFER-H V1.2 captures data for seven types of HIT-RELATED DEVICES.

The types of HIT devices most often reported were Electronic health record (EHR) or component of EHR (80 / 272; 29.4%) and Human interface device (e.g., keyboard, mouse, touchscreen, speech recognition system, monitor/display, printer) (76 / 272; 27.9%).

Laboratory information systems (LIS), including microbiology and pathology systems* were the least frequently cited.

Please note: The data presented in this figure represents a relatively small portion (272 reports) of the entire data set. The addition of even small numbers of reports could produce substantial changes in the percentages presented here.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
HIT Device Related to Event or Unsafe Condition

Note: *The frequency for this response category was suppressed to meet nonidentification requirements.

The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate the number of Device or Medical/Surgical Supply reports that involved different types of HIT devices as a percentage of all reports with information on type of HIT-RELATED DEVICE.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure is 847 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incident, Near miss, or Unsafe condition reports where TYPE OF DEVICE was HIT device (available on the Type of Device figure in the Device or Medical/Surgical Supply module). A total of 272 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply reports where TYPE OF DEVICE was HIT device include information on type of HIT-RELATED DEVICE, representing 32.1% (272 / 847) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding and suppression.

- **Technical Notes**
  - In CFER-H V1.2, the HIT-RELATED DEVICE in the Device or Medical/Surgical Supply module is DE534 in response to the question: “Which of the following best characterizes the type of HIT device related to the event or unsafe condition?”
  - The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT
**HIT Device Related to Event or Unsafe Condition by Extent of Harm**

This figure compares the distribution of residual harm to the distribution of no residual harm by type of **HIT-RELATED DEVICE** as reported in Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incident reports. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences.

The most frequently reported category of **HIT-RELATED DEVICE Incidents** shown in this figure involved *Electronic health record (EHR) or component of EHR*, accounting for more than one-third (41 / 122; 33.6%) of No harm reports.

Most **HIT-RELATED DEVICE Incidents** did not result in any harm. Among the 132 **HIT-RELATED DEVICE Incidents** where information on harm was reported, only 10 resulted in harm. Across all categories of **HIT-RELATED DEVICE**, residual harm was associated with 7.6% (10 / 132) of reports.

**HIT-RELATED DEVICE Incidents** involving three types of HIT devices were associated with harm: *Electronic health record (EHR) or component of EHR*, *Automated dispensing system*, and *Other type of HIT device*.

Please note: The data presented in this figure represents a relatively small portion (132 reports) of the entire data set. The addition of even small numbers of reports could produce substantial changes in the percentages presented here. No inferences should be drawn from this small number of reports. For this figure, all **Incident reports** with **EXTENT OF HARM** reported were classified as either No Harm, or Harm (i.e., *Mild harm*, *Moderate harm*, *Severe harm or Death*). Reports of **Unknown harm** were excluded from the analysis.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
## HIT Device Related to Event or Unsafe Condition by Extent of Harm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of HIT Device</th>
<th>Percentage with No Harm</th>
<th>Percentage with Harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electronic health record (EHR) or component of EHR</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human interface device (e.g., keyboard, mouse, touchscreen, speech recognition system, monitor/display, printer)</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other type of HIT device</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative/billing or practice management system</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiology/diagnostic imaging system, including picture archiving and communications system (PACS)</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated dispensing system</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory information system (LIS), including microbiology and pathology systems</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *The frequency for this response category was suppressed to meet nonidentification requirements.

The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate patient safety Incidents that were reported for each type of HIT device as a percentage of Incidents with TYPE OF DEVICE identified as HIT device and with information on type of HIT-RELATED DEVICE, EXTENT OF HARM, PATIENT AGE, and PATIENT GENDER, stratified by whether the patient experienced a harm or not.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 356 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply Incident reports where TYPE OF DEVICE was HIT device. A total of 132 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply reports where TYPE OF DEVICE was HIT device include information on type of HIT-RELATED DEVICE, and EXTENT OF HARM; this represented 37.1% (132 / 356) of the eligible sample. Percentages sum to 100 within Harm and No Harm columns, but the sum of percentages shown may not total 100 due to rounding and suppression.

### Technical Notes

- In CFER-H V1.2, the HIT-RELATED DEVICE in the Device or Medical/Surgical Supply
module is in DE534 in response to the question: “Which of the following best characterizes the type of HIT device related to the event or unsafe condition?” The EXTENT OF HARM in the PIF is DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?”

- The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Device or Medical/Surgical Supply CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) does not capture defects or events discovered prior to market approval or clinical deployment.

**FALL**

The *Fall* event type in CFER-H V1.2 collects reports of *Incidents* involving a fall. Falls are divided between those known to have been *Assisted* and those which are considered *Unassisted*, which includes all falls that were *Unassisted* or for which the presence of assistance was *Unknown*. The *Fall EVENT TYPE* collects data regarding the location of the fall, as well as the specific patient outcome of a fall and does not require that a process failure be identified.²

Even without specific event rates, data regarding whether and how harm or injury varies with assistance or by the location of a fall, will be informative for patient safety improvements.

Two types of information about the patient’s outcome are presented: the AHRQ Harm Scale captured residual harm, and a separate question unique to the *Fall EVENT TYPE* collected data on the specific type of physical injury sustained in the fall. Note that these two data elements for reporting harm or injury should be considered independently due to variability in the way that data submitters may have interpreted the residual harm question in CFER-H V1.2. The extent of overlap between the extent of residual harm and the severity of injury from a fall is unknown.

These figures present summary information from the *Fall* reports received by the PSOPPC that met inclusion and exclusion criteria. Therefore, percentages displayed in these figures are expected to differ from those presented in the Data Submission Summary module. The exclusion criteria for *Fall* reports are:

- A fall resulting from a purposeful action or violent blow (e.g., a patient pushes another patient)

- Near fall – loss of balance that does not result in a fall

**Extent of Harm**

This figure displays reports of *Falls* resulting in residual harm to patients. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is the extent of harm to the patient after discovery of the incident and after any attempts to minimize adverse consequences. The AHRQ Harm Scale provides the following possible responses: *No harm*, *Mild harm*, *Moderate harm*, *Severe harm*, *Death*, or *Unknown harm*. This figure includes Incidents where the EXTENT OF HARM was reported. While *Unknown harm* is displayed in this figure, it

² Although the module was designed to capture information about patient activity prior to the fall, the use of risk assessments and of various fall prevention protocols, the data were insufficient to be included in this report.
is not described further.

Among Fall Incidents where the **EXTENT OF HARM** was known (i.e., excluding Unknown harm) and after all attempts to mitigate harm, the majority of Fall Incidents resulted in either No harm at 66.8% (56,778 / 84,990) or Mild harm at 29.9% (25,392 / 84,990).

A total of 0.1% (106 / 84,990) of reported Fall Incidents where the **EXTENT OF HARM** was known resulted in Death; 0.5% (419 / 84,990), resulted in Severe harm; and 2.7% (2,295 / 84,990) resulted in Moderate harm.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.

**Extent of Harm**

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate Fall Incidents resulting in various levels of harm as a percentage of all Fall Incidents with data for **EXTENT OF HARM**.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 92,588 Fall Incidents (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). A total of 85,377 Fall Incidents included information for **EXTENT OF HARM**, which was reported for 92.2% (85,377 / 92,588) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

- Technical Notes
**Fall Assistance**

This figure presents the distribution of fall assistance for patients experiencing an **UNASSISTED OR ASSISTED FALL**. Falls were divided into two groups: **Falls known to have been Assisted**, and **Falls considered Unassisted**, which includes both **Falls known to be Unassisted** and **Falls where it is Unknown whether assistance was provided or not**.

The frequency of **Falls considered Unassisted** (19,460 / 23,904; 81.4%) was higher than that of **Falls known to be Assisted** (4,444 / 23,904; 18.6%).

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.

**Fall Assistance**

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate *Fall Incidents* for which the patient was assisted to the ground by another individual, or not, as a percentage of all *Fall Incidents* with data for **UNASSISTED OR ASSISTED FALL**.
Reports had **INITIAL REPORT DATES** from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 92,588 *Fall Incidents* (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). A total of 23,904 *Fall Incidents* included information on **UNASSISTED OR ASSISTED FALL**, which was reported for 25.8% (23,904 / 92,588) of the eligible population. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

- **Technical Notes**
  - In CFER-H V1.2, **UNASSISTED OR ASSISTED FALL** in the *Fall* module is captured in DE192 in response to the question: “Was the fall unassisted or assisted?”
  - The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 *Fall CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION* (EVENT TYPE) excludes a fall resulting from a purposeful action or violent blow (e.g., a patient pushes another patient) or a near fall (i.e., loss of balance that does not result in a fall).

**Fall Assistance by Extent of Harm**

This figure compares the distribution of residual harm to the distribution of no residual harm by whether *Fall Incidents* involve **UNASSISTED OR ASSISTED FALLS**. Falls were divided into two groups: *Falls* known to have been *Assisted*, and *Falls* considered *Unassisted*, which includes both *Falls* known to be *Unassisted* and *Falls* where it is *Unknown* whether assistance was provided or not. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences.

*Falls* considered *Unassisted* accounted for 81.1% (18,429 / 22,711) of *Fall Incidents* shown on this dashboard, as well as 90.2% (5,802 / 6,429) of all *Fall Incidents* with residual harm reported.

*Falls* resulted in residual patient harm 28.3% (6,429 / 22,711) of the time. However, when a fall was considered *Unassisted*, residual harm was associated with 31.5% (5,802 / 18,429) of reports. This was more than twice the proportion of harm reported among falls known to be *Assisted* (627 / 4,282; 14.6%).

Please note: For this figure, all *Incident* reports with **EXTENT OF HARM** reported were classified as either No harm, or Harm (i.e., *Mild harm*, *Moderate harm*, *Severe harm* or *Death*). Reports of *Unknown harm* were excluded from the analysis.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Fall Assistance by Extent of Harm

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate patient safety Incidents where falls were Assisted or Unassisted as a percentage of all Fall Incidents with UNASSISTED OR ASSISTED FALL and EXTENT OF HARM reported, stratified by whether the patient experienced a harm or not.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 92,588 Fall Incidents (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). A total of 22,711 Fall Incidents included information on UNASSISTED OR ASSISTED FALL and EXTENT OF HARM; this represented 24.5% (22,711 / 92,588) of the eligible sample. Percentages sum to 100 within Harm and No Harm columns, but the sum of percentages shown in the figure may not total 100 due to rounding.

- Technical Notes
  - In CFER-H V1.2, UNASSISTED OR ASSISTED FALL in the Fall module is DE192 in response to the question: “Was the fall unassisted or assisted?” EXTENT OF HARM in the PIF is DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?”
  - The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Fall CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) excludes a fall resulting from a purposeful action or violent blow (e.g., a patient pushes another patient) or a near fall (i.e., loss of balance that does not result in a fall).
Type of Injury Experienced by Patient with Fall Resulting in Injury

This figure presents data unique to the Fall module, which captures the specific type of physical injury sustained in the fall as TYPE OF INJURY AS RESULT OF FALL. Note that this data element is independent of the data captured as EXTENT OF HARM based on the AHRQ Harm Scale and its assessment of residual harm. These two data elements for reporting harm or injury should be considered independently due to potential variability in the way that data submitters interpret “residual harm.” Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences.

Skin tear, avulsion, hematoma or significant bruising were the types of injury most frequently identified in Fall reports where the fall resulted in injury and the report included information on TYPE OF INJURY AS RESULT OF FALL at 45.6% (2,552 / 5,593).

The second most frequent type of injury reported was Other injury, representing 32.3% (1,806 / 5,593) of all Fall Incidents. Within the accompanying text field describing the Other injury, further review of these reports indicated that they represent minor injuries such as soreness, bumps, and minor abrasions.

The least common type of injury in Fall Incidents was Dislocation at 0.6% (35 / 5,593).

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
**Type of Injury Experienced by Patient with Fall Resulting in Injury**

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate the number of Fall Incidents for each category of **TYPE OF INJURY AS RESULT OF FALL** as a percentage of all Fall Incidents with data on whether the fall resulted in injury and the type of the injury.

Reports had **INITIAL REPORT DATES** from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 6,157 Fall Incidents involving a fall that resulted in injury (i.e., where the response to **INJURY AS RESULT OF FALL** was Yes). A total of 5,593 Fall Incident reports where the fall resulted in injury included information on **TYPE OF INJURY AS RESULT OF FALL**; these represented 90.8% (5,593 / 6,157) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

- **Technical Notes**

  - In CFER-H V1.2, **INJURY AS RESULT OF FALL** is captured in the **Fall** module, DE201 in response to the question: “Did the patient sustain a physical injury as a result of the fall?”
  
  - **TYPE OF INJURY AS A RESULT OF FALL** is captured in the **Fall** module, DE204 in response to the question “What type of injury was sustained?”

- The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 **Fall CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE)** excludes a fall resulting from a purposeful action or violent blow (e.g., a patient pushes another patient) or a near fall (i.e., loss of balance that does not result in a fall).
**Location of Fall**

This figure presents data on the locations of *Fall Incidents* captured in CFER-H V1.2. Location data are captured for all patient safety concerns (*Incidents, Near misses, and Unsafe conditions*). CFER-H V1.2 captures information on where patient safety concerns occur in thirteen LOCATION (AREA OF OCCURRENCE) OF EVENT OR UNSAFE CONDITION (LOCATION) categories including *Other* and *Unknown*. This figure presents data on the LOCATION of Fall Incidents captured in CFER-H V1.2.

*Inpatient general care areas (e.g., medical/surgical unit)* was the most frequently reported LOCATION for falls, identified in 62.3% (40,521 / 65,013) of *Fall* reports.

Numerous falls (7,753 / 65,013; 11.9%) were reported to have occurred in *Other location*. Because there are two narrower “other” responses available – *Other area within the facility* and *Outside area (i.e., grounds of this facility)* – the relatively high number of *Other location* events may reflect difficulties encountered by PSOs and/or providers when converting reports initially captured by incident reporting systems not based on CFER-H V1.2.

The location in the facility with the fewest reported *Fall Incidents* was *Pharmacy* with less than 0.1% (7 / 65,013) of *Fall Incidents*.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate Fall Incidents occurring in different locations of the hospital facility as a percentage of all Fall Incidents with LOCATION information. Operating room or procedure area includes for example, cardiac catheter labs, other endoscopy areas, and PACU (post-anesthesia care unit) or recovery areas.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The
eligible sample for this figure was 92,588 Fall Incidents (available in the Report Type by Event Type data table in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). A total of 65,013 Fall Incidents included information on LOCATION, which was reported for 70.2% (65,013 / 92,588) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

- **Technical Notes**

  - In CFER-H V1.2, LOCATION is captured in the Summary of Initial Report form DE 78 in response to the question: “Where did the event occur, or, if an unsafe condition, where does it exist?”

  - The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Fall CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) excludes a fall resulting from a purposeful action or violent blow (e.g., a patient pushes another patient) or a near fall (i.e., loss of balance that does not result in a fall).

**MEDICATION OR OTHER SUBSTANCE**

The Medication or Other Substance module in CFER-H V1.2 collects reports of events and Unsafe conditions involving medications or other substances, including biological products, nutritional products, and medical gasses. The EVENT TYPE collects data on the specific processes of care involved and does not require that a patient outcome be identified.

Even without specific event rates, data regarding the types of activities that give rise to Medication or Other Substance reports, the stage of the process where reported events are originating, and the residual harm, will be informative for patient safety improvements.

These figures present summary information from the Medication or Other Substance reports received by the PSOPPC that met inclusion and exclusion criteria. Therefore, percentages displayed in these figures are expected to differ from those presented in the Data Submission Summary module. The exclusion criteria for Medication or Other Substance reports are:

- Adverse drug reaction with no apparent incorrect action
- Patient food (not suspected in drug-food interactions)
- Radiopharmaceuticals
- Appropriateness of therapeutic choice or decision making, (e.g., physician decision to prescribe medication despite known drug-drug interaction)
- Drug-drug, drug-food, or adverse drug reaction as the result of a prescription and/or administration of a drug and/or food prior to admission

**Extent of Harm**

This figure displays the reports of residual harm to patients from Medication or Other Substance Incidents. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is the extent of harm to the patient after discovery of the incident and after any attempts to minimize adverse consequences. The AHRQ Harm Scale provides the following
possible responses: No harm, Mild harm, Moderate harm, Severe harm, Death, or Unknown harm. This figure includes Incidents where the EXTENT OF HARM was reported. While Unknown harm is displayed in this figure, it is not described further.

Among Medication or Other Substance Incidents where the EXTENT OF HARM was known (i.e., excluding Unknown harm), the majority resulted in either No harm (72,356 / 125,262; 57.8%) or Mild harm (47,556 / 125,262; 38.0%).

Among the remaining Medication or Other Substance Incidents where EXTENT OF HARM was known, 0.1% (139 / 125,262) resulted in Death; 0.2% (298 / 125,262) resulted in Severe harm; and 3.9% (4,913 / 125,262) resulted in Moderate harm.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.

**Extent of Harm**

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate patient safety Incidents resulting in various levels of harm as a percentage of all Medication or Other Substance Incidents with information on harm.

Reports had **INITIAL REPORT DATES** from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 147,767 Medication or Other Substance Incident reports (available in the Report Type by Event Type figure in the Generic Patient Safety Concern module). A total of 126,271 Medication or Other Substance Incidents included information on EXTENT OF HARM, which was reported for 85.5% (126,271 / 147,767) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
• Technical Notes

■ In CFER-H V1.2, the EXTENT OF HARM in the PIF is DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?”

■ The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Medication or Other Substance CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) excluded the following: adverse drug events with no apparent incorrect action; patient food (not suspected in drug-food interactions); radiopharmaceuticals; drug-drug, drug-food, or adverse drug reaction as a result of a prescription and/or administration of a drug and/or food prior to admission.

Incorrect Actions

This figure presents the distribution of reports of Medication or Other Substance patient safety events (i.e., Incidents and Near misses) that involved an incorrect action, by the type of INCORRECT ACTION INVOLVING A SUBSTANCE (INCORRECT ACTION). CFER-H V1.2 captures data on 15 different types of INCORRECT ACTIONS that may occur in the hospital, including Other.

The most frequently reported type of INCORRECT ACTION was Other incorrect action, comprising 26.2% (3,908 / 14,923) of the INCORRECT ACTIONS reported. A review of free text descriptions of Other incorrect action found that approximately one-quarter of the 3,908 Other incorrect actions could have been reported in a different substantive response category such as Incorrect dose. Apparent misclassification of incorrect actions into the Other category could be the result of issues introduced when data are mapped using the CFER-H from a different reporting format.

The second most frequent type of INCORRECT ACTION was Incorrect dose (3,861 / 14,923; 25.9%), followed by Incorrect medication or substance (2,212 / 14,923; 14.8%).

Medication or substance known to be an allergen to patient and Medication or substance known to be contraindicated for patient were each identified in 0.8% of INCORRECT ACTIONS (122 / 14,923 and 114 / 14,923, respectively). The least frequent incorrect action reported involved Expired or deteriorated medication or substance (55 / 14,923; 0.4%).

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Incorrect Actions

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate patient safety events that were reported in each category of **INCORRECT ACTION** as a percentage of all *Medication or Other Substance* events.

**Incorrect timing** is an **INCORRECT ACTION** that involves medications or other substances being administered too early or too late. **Incorrect rate** is an **INCORRECT ACTION** that involves medications or other substances being administered too quickly or too slowly.

Reports had **INITIAL REPORT DATES** from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The data for one PSO were suppressed in this figure (see the second Technical Note below for details). The eligible sample for this figure included 13,588 *Medication or Other Substance Incidents* and *Near miss* reports where **DESCRIPTION OF SUBSTANCE EVENT** was Incorrect Action. A
total of 13,462 Medication or Other Substance Incident and Near miss reports with an Incorrect action included information on INCORRECT ACTION, representing 99.1% (13,462 / 13,588) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

- Technical Notes
  
  ■ In CFER-H V1.2, INCORRECT ACTION in the Medication or Other Substance module is DE291 in response to the question: “What was the incorrect action?” DESCRIPTION OF SUBSTANCE EVENT in the Medication or Other Substance module is DE288 in response to the question: “Which of the following best characterizes the event?”

  ■ The eligible sample excluded reports from one PSO because of a data quality issue related to the INCORRECT ACTION data element. A mapping error caused other types of INCORRECT ACTION to be reported as Incorrect patient/family action.

  ■ A Medication or Other Substance Incident report can be associated with more than one INCORRECT ACTION. A total of 13,462 reports, including 1,151 associated with two or more types of INCORRECT ACTION, accounted for the 14,923 types of INCORRECT ACTIONS shown in this figure.

  ■ The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Medication or Other Substance CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) excluded the following: adverse drug events with no apparent incorrect action; patient food (not suspected in drug-food interactions); radiopharmaceuticals; drug-drug, drug-food, or adverse drug reaction as a result of a prescription and/or administration of a drug and/or food prior to admission.

Incorrect Action by Extent of Harm

This figure compares the distribution of residual harm to the distribution of no residual harm associated with different incorrect actions that may occur during the administration of medications or other substances in the hospital setting, as reported in Medication or Other Substance Incident reports. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences.

Other incorrect action was associated with more Incidents than any other INCORRECT ACTION (3,222 / 11,659; 27.6%). However, more reports of residual harm were associated with Incorrect dose than with any other type of INCORRECT ACTION, representing nearly one-third (377 / 1,156; 32.6%) of all residual harm shown in this figure. Incidents involving an Incorrect medication or substance accounted for more than ten percent of the residual harm (131 / 1,156; 11.3%) reported in this figure. Incidents where a medication or other substance was administered to an Incorrect patient and residual harm was observed were less common, at 2.1% (24 / 1,156) of Incidents with an INCORRECT ACTION. Administration of an Expired or deteriorated medication or substance was the least frequently reported type of INCORRECT ACTION, comprising 0.3% (32 / 11,659) of all INCORRECT ACTIONS with or without harm reported. Medication or substance known to be an allergen to patient and Incidents involving Medication or substance known to be contraindicated for patient were also very infrequently reported, representing only 0.7% (87 / 11,659) and 0.6% (70 / 11,659) of all INCORRECT ACTIONS reported.
Across all types of INCORRECT ACTION reported in this figure, the proportion of Incidents that resulted in residual harm was 9.9% (1,156 / 11,659). Examining only reports associated with an Incorrect dose, the proportion with residual harm was 12.3% (377 / 3,075). The proportion of Incidents involving an Incorrect medication or substance that were associated with residual harm was 8.8% (131 / 1,484), and where a medication or other substance was administered to an Incorrect patient, the proportion of Incidents with residual harm was also 8.8% (24 / 274). The percentage of Other incorrect action reports associated with residual harm was relatively low at 5.6% (180 / 3,222).

The proportion of Incidents with some residual harm reported varied considerably across types of INCORRECT ACTION. Administration of an Expired or deteriorated medication or substance was the only INCORRECT ACTION associated with no reports of residual harm (0 / 32; 0%). Medication or substance known to be an allergen to patient and Medication or substance that was contraindicated for patient were both associated with high proportions of residual harm: 27.6% (24 / 87) and 28.6% (20 / 70), respectively.

Please note: For this figure, all Incident reports with EXTENT OF HARM reported were classified as either No harm, or Harm (i.e., Mild harm, Moderate harm, Severe harm or Death). Reports of Unknown harm were excluded from the analysis.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
### Incorrect Action by Extent of Harm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incorrect Action</th>
<th>Percentage with No Harm</th>
<th>Percentage with Harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other incorrect action</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect dose</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect timing</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect medication or substance</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect duration</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect strength or concentration</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect rate</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect patient</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect patient/family action</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect route</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect preparation</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect dosage form</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication or substance known to be an allergen to patient</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication or substance known to be contraindicated for patient</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired or deteriorated medication or substance</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate patient safety incidents that were reported in each category of **Incorrect Action** as a percentage of all **Medication or Other Substance Incidents** where **Incorrect Action** and **Extent of Harm** were reported, stratified by whether the patient experienced a harm or not.

**Incorrect timing** is an **Incorrect Action** that involves medications or other substances being administered *too early* or *too late*. **Incorrect rate** is an **Incorrect Action** that involves medications or other substances being administered *too quickly* or *too slowly*.

Reports had **Initial Report Dates** from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The data for one PSO were suppressed in this figure (see the second Technical Note below for details).

The eligible sample for this figure was 11,663 **Medication or Other Substance Incidents** where...
DESCRIPTION OF SUBSTANCE EVENT was Incorrect action. A total of 10,648 Medication or Other Substance Incident reports with an Incorrect action included information on INCORRECT ACTION and EXTENT OF HARM, representing 91.3% (10,648 / 11,663) of the eligible sample. Percentages sum to 100 within Harm and No Harm columns, but the sum of percentages shown may not total 100 due to rounding.

- Technical Notes

- In CFER-H V1.2, INCORRECT ACTION in the Medication or Other Substance module is DE291 in response to the question: “What was the incorrect action?” and DESCRIPTION OF SUBSTANCE EVENT in the Medication or Other Substance module is DE288 in response to the question: “Which of the following best characterizes the event?”

- EXTENT OF HARM in the PIF is DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?”

- The eligible sample excluded reports from one PSO because of a data quality issue related to the INCORRECT ACTION data element. A mapping error caused other types of INCORRECT ACTION to be reported as Incorrect patient/family action.

- A Medication or Other Substance Incident report can be associated with more than one INCORRECT ACTION. A total of 10,648 reports, including 816 that were associated with two or more types of INCORRECT ACTION, accounted for the 11,659 INCORRECT ACTION types shown in this figure.

- The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Medication or Other Substance CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) excluded the following: adverse drug events with no apparent incorrect action; patient food (not suspected in drug-food interactions); radiopharmaceuticals; drug-drug, drug-food, or adverse drug reaction as a result of a prescription and/or administration of a drug and/or food prior to admission.

Description of Incorrect Dose

This figure presents the distribution of DESCRIPTION OF INCORRECT DOSE among Medication or Other Substance events that involved an INCORRECT ACTION where the incorrect action was an Incorrect dose. CFER-H V1.2 captures data on five different DESCRIPTIONS OF INCORRECT DOSE that may occur in the hospital, including Unknown*.

Missed or omitted doses were the most frequent DESCRIPTION OF INCORRECT DOSE reported in Medication or Other Substance events (2,462 / 5,829; 42.2%).

Overdose and Underdose accounted for 26.3% (1,535 / 5,829) and 24.5% (1,431 / 5,829) respectively.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Note: In this figure, the Unknown category was removed from the total sample reported in the text to meet nonidentification requirements.

The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate patient safety events associated with different types of incorrect doses presented as a percentage of all Medication or Other Substance events where an Incorrect dose was identified as the INCORRECT ACTION and information was provided on the DESCRIPTION OF INCORRECT DOSE.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 6,859 Medication or Other Substance events with Incorrect dose as the response to INCORRECT ACTION. A total of 5,829 reports of Medication or Other Substance events with an Incorrect dose included information on DESCRIPTION OF INCORRECT DOSE; this represented 85.0% (5,829 / 6,859) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding and suppression.

- Technical Notes
  - In CFER-H V1.2, DESCRIPTION OF INCORRECT DOSE in the Medication or Other Substance module is DE294 in response to the question: “Which best describes the incorrect dose(s)?” and INCORRECT ACTION in the Medication or Other Substance module is DE291 in response to the question: “What was the incorrect action?” and DESCRIPTION OF SUBSTANCE EVENT in the Medication or Other Substance module is DE288 in response to the question: “Which of the following best characterizes the event?”
The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Medication or Other Substance CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) excluded the following: adverse drug events with no apparent incorrect action; patient food (not suspected in drug-food interactions); radiopharmaceuticals; drug-drug, drug-food, or adverse drug reaction as a result of a prescription and/or administration of a drug and/or food prior to admission.

**Description of Incorrect Dose by Extent of Harm**

This figure compares the distribution of residual harm to the distribution of no residual harm associated with different DESCRIPTIONS OF INCORRECT DOSE that may occur during the administration of medications or other substances in the hospital setting, as reported in Medication or Other Substance Incident reports. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences.

*Missed or omitted dose* was the category of DESCRIPTION OF INCORRECT DOSE most frequently involved in Incidents shown in this figure (1,988 / 4,655; 42.7%), but *Overdose* was the category associated with the largest number of harm events, comprising more than one-third of the overall total (168 / 473; 35.5%).

Across all categories of DESCRIPTION OF INCORRECT DOSE, the proportion of Incidents associated with residual harm was 10.2% (473 / 4,655). The highest proportion of residual harm was 14.2% (168 / 1,184) for Overdose. The proportion of Incidents with residual harm was 10.4% (120 / 1,152) where the DESCRIPTION OF INCORRECT DOSE was Underdose, and 7.8% (155 / 1,988) for Missed or omitted dose.

Please note: For this figure, all Incident reports with EXTENT OF HARM reported were classified as either No Harm, or Harm (i.e., Mild harm, Moderate harm, Severe harm or Death). Reports of Unknown harm were excluded from the analysis.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Note: In this figure, the Unknown category was removed from the total sample reported in the text to meet nonidentification requirements.

The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate patient safety events associated with different DESCRIPTIONS OF INCORRECT DOSE presented as a percentage of all Medication or Other Substance events where Incorrect dose was identified as the INCORRECT ACTION and information was provided on the DESCRIPTION OF INCORRECT DOSE and EXTENT OF HARM, stratified by whether the patient experienced a harm or not.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 5,706 Medication or Other Substance Incidents with Incorrect dose as the response to INCORRECT ACTION. A total of 4,665 Medication or Other Substance Incidents with an Incorrect dose included information on DESCRIPTION OF INCORRECT DOSE and EXTENT OF HARM; this represented 81.5% (4,655 / 5,706) of the eligible sample. Percentages sum to 100 within Harm and No Harm columns, but the sum of percentages shown may not total 100 due to rounding and suppression.

**Technical Notes**

- In CFER-H V1.2, DESCRIPTION OF INCORRECT DOSE in the Medication or Other Substance module is in DE294 in response to the question: “Which best describes the incorrect dose(s)?” and INCORRECT ACTION in the Medication or Other Substance module is Data Element DE291 in response to the question: “What was the incorrect action?” EXTENT OF HARM in the PIF is DE55 in response to the question: “After any
intervention to reduce harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?”

- The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Medication or Other Substance CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) excluded the following: patient food (not suspected in drug-food interactions); radiopharmaceuticals; drug-drug, drug-food, or adverse drug reaction as a result of a prescription and/or administration of a drug and/or food prior to admission.

Stage Event Originated

This figure presents the distribution of reports of Medication and Other Substance patient safety events (i.e., Incidents and Near misses) that involved an incorrect action by the STAGE EVENT ORIGINATED. CFER-H V1.2 captures data on 10 different stages of the medication use process where the event originated, including Other stage and Unknown as shown in this figure. These data are only captured for Medication or Other Substance events involving an Incorrect action.

The stage of the medication use process most frequently identified as the origination of medication events was in the Administering stage (10,525 / 33,190; 31.7%) followed by Prescribing (ordering) at 25.0% (8,294 / 33,190).

The stage of the medication process least frequently identified as the origination of medication events was Purchasing at 0.3% (87 / 33,190).

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate patient safety events associated with different STAGES EVENT ORIGINATED as a percentage of medication events where an Incorrect action was.

Reports had INITIAL REPORT DATES from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 38,049 Medication or Other Substance Incident and Near miss reports where Incorrect action was the response to DESCRIPTION OF SUBSTANCE EVENT. A total of 33,190 Medication or Other Substance Incident and Near miss reports with an Incorrect action included information on the STAGE EVENT ORIGINATED; these represented 87.2% (33,190 / 38,049) of the eligible sample. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

- Technical Notes
  - In CFER-H V1.2, STAGE EVENT ORIGINATED in the Medication or Other Substance module is DE315 in response to the question: “At what stage in the process did the event originate, regardless of the stage at which it was discovered?” and DESCRIPTION OF SUBSTANCE EVENT in the Medication or Other Substance module is DE288 in response to the question: “Which of the following best characterizes the event?”
  - The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 Medication or Other Substance CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION (EVENT TYPE) excluded the following: adverse drug events with no apparent incorrect action; patient food (not suspected in drug-food interactions); radiopharmaceuticals; drug-drug, drug-food, or adverse

### Stage Event Originated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage Event Originated</th>
<th>Percentage of Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administering</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribing (ordering)</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other stage</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispensing</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcribing</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storing</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchasing</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
drug reaction as a result of a prescription and/or administration of a drug and/or food prior to admission.

**Stage Event Originated by Extent of Harm**

This figure compares the distribution of residual harm to the distribution of no residual harm associated with events that originated at various stages in the medication use process (STAGE EVENT ORIGINATED), as reported in Medication or Other Substance Incident reports. Harm is defined as physical or psychological injury (including increased anxiety), inconvenience (such as prolonged treatment), monetary loss, and/or social impact, etc., suffered by a person. Residual harm is harm to the patient after the discovery of the incident and any attempts to minimize adverse consequences.

Administering medication or other substances was the STAGE EVENT ORIGINATED associated with the greatest number of Incidents shown in this figure (8,909 / 19,870; 44.8%) and almost half (748 / 1,505; 49.7%) of all Incidents with residual harm.

Across STAGES EVENT ORIGINATED, the proportion where residual harm resulted from an Incident was 7.6% (1,505 / 19,870). The proportion of Incidents with residual harm was highest among Incidents originating with Purchasing, 11.4% (5 / 44), and lowest among Incidents originating with Storing, 2.3% (3 / 128). Among Incidents associated with Administering, the proportion of reports with residual harm was 8.4% (748 / 8,909).

Please note: For this figure, all Incident reports with EXTENT OF HARM reported were classified as either No Harm, or Harm (i.e., Mild harm, Moderate harm, Severe harm or Death). Reports of Unknown harm were excluded from the analysis.

Important information is provided in the Technical Notes below.
### Stage Event Originiated by Extent of Harm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage Event</th>
<th>Percentage with No Harm</th>
<th>Percentage with Harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administering</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribing (ordering)</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other stage</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispensing</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcribing</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can't tell stage of origin</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storing</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchasing</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The CFER-H V1.2 data presented indicate patient safety events associated with different stages of the process where the event originated as a percentage of events where the **DESCRIPTION OF SUBSTANCE EVENT** was **Incorrect action** and information on **STAGE EVENT ORIGINATED** and **EXTENT OF HARM** were provided, stratified by whether the patient experienced harm.

Reports had **INITIAL REPORT DATES** from December 31, 2009 through March 31, 2018. The eligible sample for this figure was 23,512 *Medication or Other Substance Incident* reports where **Incorrect action** was the response to **DESCRIPTION OF SUBSTANCE EVENT**. A total of 19,870 *Medication or Other Substance Incidents* with an **Incorrect action** included information for **STAGE EVENT ORIGINATED** and **EXTENT OF HARM** representing 84.5% (19,870 / 23,512) of eligible sample. Percentages sum to 100 within Harm and No Harm columns, but the sum of percentages shown may not total 100 due to rounding.

- **Technical Notes**
  - In CFER-H V1.2, **STAGE EVENT ORIGINATED** in the *Medication or Other Substance* module is DE315 in response to the question: “At what stage in the process did the event originate, regardless of the stage at which it was discovered?” and **DESCRIPTION OF SUBSTANCE EVENT** in the *Medication or Other Substance* module is DE288 in response to the question: “Which of the following best characterizes the event?” **EXTENT OF HARM** in the PIF is DE55 in response to the question: “After any intervention to reduce
harm, what was the degree of residual harm to the patient from the incident (and subsequent intervention)?”

- The scope of reporting for the CFER-H V1.2 *Medication or Other Substance CATEGORY ASSOCIATED WITH EVENT OF UNSAFE CONDITION* (EVENT TYPE) excluded the following: adverse drug events with no apparent incorrect action; patient food (not suspected in drug-food interactions); radiopharmaceuticals; drug-drug, drug-food, or adverse drug reaction as a result of a prescription and/or administration of a drug and/or food prior to admission.
Appendix A: Common formats for Event Reporting – Hospital V1.2 Exclusion Criteria

The Common Formats for Event Reporting – Hospital were designed to exclude reports of patient safety events and unsafe conditions where the nature of the patient safety concern could not be attributed to the hospital, did not appear to involve incorrect actions, or were otherwise not part of the focus of the event-specific module. The exclusion criteria are documented in the CFER-H V1.2 Technical Specifications – Event Descriptions and Aggregate Report Specifications. For each section of the NPSD Chartbook, reports meeting the listed criteria are excluded from analysis:

Data Submissions
No exclusions apply.

Generic
All exclusions listed below apply.

Blood and Blood Product
Blood and blood product collection and other processes prior to receipt of the product by the blood bank

Incident involving adverse reaction during or following administration without any apparent incorrect action

Device or Medical/Surgical Supply, including Health Information Technology (HIT)
Defects or events discovered prior to market approval or clinical deployment

Fall
A fall resulting from a purposeful action or violent blow (e.g., a patient pushes another patient)

Near fall – loss of balance that does not result in a fall

Healthcare-associated Infection (HAI)
Infection that was determined to be present or incubating on admission (except SSI in patient operated on at this facility in the past 30 days or, if an implant, in the past year)

- Community acquired infection that was determined to be present or incubating on admission with no treatment at any facility
- Presumed HAI (other than SSI) that developed following a discharge from this facility
- Presumed HAI (other than SSI) that developed following treatment at an outpatient site, operated by this facility
- Presumed HAI that developed following treatment at another inpatient or outpatient facility

Medication or Other Substance
Adverse drug reaction with no apparent incorrect action

Patient food (not suspected in drug-food interactions)

Radiopharmaceuticals
Appropriateness of therapeutic choice or decision making, (e.g., physician decision to prescribe medication despite known drug-drug interaction)

Drug-drug, drug-food, or adverse drug reaction as a result of a prescription and/or administration of a drug and/or food prior to admission

**Perinatal**
Adverse events not associated with the birthing process (nor with an intrauterine procedure)

**Pressure Ulcer**
A pressure ulcer that, on admission, was at stage/category III or stage/category IV or was unstageable

A lesion that, on admission, was a suspected Deep Tissue Injury

A pressure ulcer at stage/category I or stage/category II

A pressure ulcer whose most advanced stage is unknown

A mucosal ulcer without skin or tissue involvement

An arterial or venous ulcer

A diabetic foot ulcer

**Surgery/Anesthesia**
American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Class 6 – Brain-dead patient whose organs are being removed for donor purposes

Handling of an organ after procurement

**Venous Thromboembolism (VTE)**
Asymptomatic VTE (i.e., DVT and/or PE identified on screening exam or incidentally)

VTE occurring in a patient receiving palliative or comfort care

Thrombosis involving another venous system such as intracranial veins or sinuses, or splanchnic, portal or renal veins

VTE that develops within 48 hours of admission, except if the patient had been discharged from the reporting facility within the prior 30 days

VTE in a patient admitted to hospital with a diagnosis of, or suspected diagnosis of, acute DVT or PE, except if discharged from the reporting facility within 30 days of being readmitted to that same facility

VTE in a patient with prior or chronic VTE who has leg swelling and no documentation of acute changes on ultrasound report

VTE diagnosed more than 30 days after hospital discharge
VTE diagnosed based on any one, or any combination of, (1) clinical criteria, (2) D-dimer test results, or (3) imaging test results that are “inconclusive” or are of “low probability”

Superficial vein thrombosis and/or phlebitis that does not extend into a deep vein

Non-thrombotic emboli (e.g., air, fat, amniotic fluid, or foreign body or material)

**Other**

No exclusions apply.