

AHRQ Quality Indicators Prioritization Matrix															
	Section 1- Blue		Section 2-Green					Section 3-Purple			Section 4-Orange				
	Own Rate and National Benchmark		Estimate Annual Cost and Cost To Implement					Rate Strategic Alignment <b>and Regulatory Mandates</b> Rate on scale of 10 (agree/high) to 0 (disagree/low)			Barrier Assessment (indicate Yes or No)				
	C	D	Volume of Cases at Risk	Cost of Single Event	Total Cost	Cost To Implement	Proxies for Cost	Strategic Alignment	External Mandates	Public Perception	Executive-Level Support	Staff Capability	Staff Willingness	Time and Effort	Ability To Monitor Progress
List of PSIs/IQIs	Own Rate	National Benchmarks	Annual volume of this event	Anticipated average cost for one case with this event	The total annual cost of this event to our organization	Anticipated cost to investigate/ implement new process is less than annual cost of event	Additional information that could be used instead of or in addition to cost estimates in columns F-H	Aligned with established organizational goals and priorities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regulatory</li> <li>Value-based purchasing</li> <li>Sentinel event</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Publicly reported</li> <li>Public perception</li> <li>Marketing</li> <li>Competitive pressure</li> </ul>	Do we have the committed support of our senior leadership?	Do we have staff with the needed skills for this PI team?	Are affected staff willing to change?	Will the added demand on staff time and effort be reasonable?	Do we have a method to review PI progress on a regular basis?
Patient Safety															
PSI 3 Pressure Ulcer															
PSI 6 Iatrogenic Pneumothorax															
PSI 7 Central Venous Catheter-Related Bloodstream Infections															
PSI 8 Postoperative Hip Fracture															
PSI 9 Postoperative Hemorrhage or Hematoma															
PSI 10 Postoperative Physiologic and Metabolic Derangement															
PSI 11 Postoperative Respiratory Failure															
PSI 12 Postoperative Pulmonary Embolism or Deep Vein Thrombosis															
PSI 13 Postoperative Sepsis															
PSI 14 Postoperative Wound Dehiscence															

AHRQ Quality Indicators Prioritization Matrix																
	Section 1- Blue			Section 2-Green					Section 3-Purple			Section 4-Orange				
	Own Rate and National Benchmark			Estimate Annual Cost and Cost To Implement					Rate Strategic Alignment and Regulatory Mandates Rate on scale of 10 (agree/high) to 0 (disagree/low)			Barrier Assessment (indicate Yes or No)				
			Volume of Cases at Risk	Cost of Single Event	Total Cost	Cost To Implement	Proxies for Cost	Strategic Alignment	External Mandates	Public Perception	Executive-Level Support	Staff Capability	Staff Willingness	Time and Effort	Ability To Monitor Progress	
	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	
	<b>List of PSIs/IQIs</b>	Own Rate	National Benchmarks	Annual volume of this event	Anticipated average cost for one case with this event	The total annual cost of this event to our organization	Anticipated cost to investigate/ implement new process is less than annual cost of event	Additional information that could be used instead of or in addition to cost estimates in columns F-H	Aligned with established organizational goals and priorities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regulatory</li> <li>Value-based purchasing</li> <li>Sentinel event</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Publicly reported</li> <li>Public perception</li> <li>Marketing</li> <li>Competitive pressure</li> </ul>	Do we have the committed support of our senior leadership?	Do we have staff with the needed skills for this PI team?	Are affected staff willing to change?	Will the added demand on staff time and effort be reasonable?	Do we have a method to review PI progress on a regular basis?
	PSI 15 Accidental Puncture or Laceration															
Obstetric	PSI 17 Birth Trauma-Injury to Neonate															
	PSI 18 Obstetric Trauma Vaginal Delivery With Instrument															
	PSI 19 Obstetric Trauma Vaginal Delivery Without Instrument															
Death	PSI 2 Death in Low-Mortality DRGs															
	PSI 4 Death among Surgical Inpatients															
Sentinel Event	PSI 5 Foreign Body Left During Procedure															
	PSI 16 Transfusion Reaction															
AHRQ Inpatient Mortality for Selected Conditions Quality Indicator Composite	Conditions Composite															
	IQI 15 AMI Mortality															
	IQI 16 Congestive Heart Failure (CHF) Mortality															
	IQI 17 Acute Stroke Mortality															

AHRQ Quality Indicators Prioritization Matrix															
	Section 1- Blue		Section 2-Green					Section 3-Purple			Section 4-Orange				
	Own Rate and National Benchmark		Estimate Annual Cost and Cost To Implement					Rate Strategic Alignment and Regulatory Mandates Rate on scale of 10 (agree/high) to 0 (disagree/low)			Barrier Assessment (indicate Yes or No)				
			Volume of Cases at Risk	Cost of Single Event	Total Cost	Cost To Implement	Proxies for Cost	Strategic Alignment	External Mandates	Public Perception	Executive-Level Support	Staff Capability	Staff Willingness	Time and Effort	Ability To Monitor Progress
	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
<b>List of PSIs/IQIs</b>	Own Rate	National Benchmarks	Annual volume of this event	Anticipated average cost for one case with this event	The total annual cost of this event to our organization	Anticipated cost to investigate/ implement new process is less than annual cost of event	Additional information that could be used instead of or in addition to cost estimates in columns F-H	Aligned with established organizational goals and priorities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regulatory</li> <li>Value-based purchasing</li> <li>Sentinel event</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Publicly reported</li> <li>Public perception</li> <li>Marketing</li> <li>Competitive pressure</li> </ul>	Do we have the committed support of our senior leadership?	Do we have staff with the needed skills for this PI team?	Are affected staff willing to change?	Will the added demand on staff time and effort be reasonable?	Do we have a method to review PI progress on a regular basis?
<b>AHRQ Inpatient Mortality for Selected Procedures Quality Indicator Composite</b>															
Procedures Composite															
IQI 8 Esophageal Resection Mortality															
IQI 9 Pancreatic Resection Mortality															
IQI 11 AAA Repair Mortality															
IQI 12 CABG Mortality															
IQI 13 Craniotomy Mortality															
IQI 14 Hip Replacement Mortality															
IQI 6 and IQI 30 Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty															
IQI 7 and IQI 31 Carotid Endarterectomy															

AHRQ Quality Indicators Prioritization Matrix															
	Section 1- Blue		Section 2-Green					Section 3-Purple			Section 4-Orange				
	Own Rate and National Benchmark		Estimate Annual Cost and Cost To Implement					Rate Strategic Alignment and Regulatory Mandates Rate on scale of 10 (agree/high) to 0 (disagree/low)			Barrier Assessment (indicate Yes or No)				
			Volume of Cases at Risk	Cost of Single Event	Total Cost	Cost To Implement	Proxies for Cost	Strategic Alignment	External Mandates	Public Perception	Executive-Level Support	Staff Capability	Staff Willingness	Time and Effort	Ability To Monitor Progress
	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
List of PSIs/IQIs	Own Rate	National Benchmarks	Annual volume of this event	Anticipated average cost for one case with this event	The total annual cost of this event to our organization	Anticipated cost to investigate/ implement new process is less than annual cost of event	Additional information that could be used instead of or in addition to cost estimates in columns F-H	Aligned with established organizational goals and priorities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regulatory</li> <li>Value-based purchasing</li> <li>Sentinel event</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Publicly reported</li> <li>Public perception</li> <li>Marketing</li> <li>Competitive pressure</li> </ul>	Do we have the committed support of our senior leadership?	Do we have staff with the needed skills for this PI team?	Are affected staff willing to change?	Will the added demand on staff time and effort be reasonable?	Do we have a method to review PI progress on a regular basis?

Tool C.1