Chapter 3. Patient Safety

Importance

Mortality
Number of Americans who die each year from medical errors (1999 est.) ................. 44,000-98,000

Prevalence
Rate of adverse events for hospitalized patients (annual estimates) ................................................. 2.9%-3.7%
Rate of adverse drug reactions during hospital admissions (annual estimates) ....................... 2.0%-6.7%
Rate of adverse drug events among Medicare beneficiaries in ambulatory settings .................................................. 50 per 1,000 person-years

Cost
Cost attributable to medical errors (2008 est.) .............................................................................. $19.5 billion
Total cost per error (2008 est.) ...................................................................................................... $13,000
Annual cost attributable to surgical errors (2008 est.) .............................................................. $1.5 billion

Measures
The Institute of Medicine (IOM) defines patient safety as “freedom from accidental injury due to medical care or medical errors.” In 1999, the IOM published To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System, which called for a national effort to reduce medical errors and improve patient safety. In response to the IOM’s report, President George W. Bush signed the Patient Safety and Quality Improvement Act of 2005 (Patient Safety Act). The act was designed to spur the development of voluntary, provider-driven initiatives to improve the quality, safety, and outcomes of patient care. The Patient Safety Act addresses many of the current barriers to improving patient care.

Central to this effort is the ability to measure and track adverse events. Measuring patient safety is complicated by difficulties in assessing and ensuring the systematic reporting of medical errors and adverse events. All too often, adverse event reporting systems are laborious and cumbersome. Health care providers may also fear that if they participate in the analysis of medical errors or patient care processes, the findings may be used against them in court or harm their professional reputations. Many factors limit the ability to aggregate data in sufficient numbers to rapidly identify prevalent risks and hazards in the delivery of patient care, their underlying causes, and practices that are most effective in mitigating them. These include difficulties aggregating and sharing data confidentially across facilities or State lines.

In addition, although *To Err Is Human* does not mention race or ethnicity when discussing the problem of patient safety, such data are limited. Any differences that suggest patient race and/or ethnicity might influence the risk of experiencing a patient safety event must be investigated to better understand the underlying reasons for any differences before the differences can be eliminated.

Despite these limitations, a better picture of patient safety is emerging. Progress has been made in recent years in raising awareness, developing reporting systems, and establishing rational data collection standards. Examining patient safety using a combination of administrative data, medical record abstraction, spontaneous adverse event reports, and patient surveys allows a more robust understanding of what is improving and what is not. Still, data remain incomplete for a comprehensive national assessment of patient safety. The National Priorities Partnership (NPP) identified safety in health care as one of six national priorities. The goal is a health care system that is:

…relentless in continually reducing the risks of injury from care, aiming for “zero” harm wherever and whenever possible—a system that can promise absolutely reliable care, guaranteeing that every patient, every time, receives the benefits of care based solidly on science.

The key goals include: (1) health care organizations and staff ensuring a culture of safety in order to reduce healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and serious adverse events (SAEs), (2) hospitals reducing preventable and premature hospital-level mortality rates, and (3) hospitals improving their 30-day mortality rates for selected conditions (e.g., acute myocardial infarction, pneumonia). The National Healthcare Quality Report (NHQR) has tracked a growing number of patient safety measures. Organized around the NPP priority of Safety, the 2010 NHQR presents the following measures:

- **Reduction of HAIs:**
  - Appropriate timing of antibiotics (core measure).
  - Postoperative sepsis.

- **Reduction of SAEs:**
  - Ambulatory care visits due to adverse effects of medical care.
  - Mechanical adverse events.
  - Postoperative respiratory failure.

- **Preventable and premature mortality rates:**
  - Failure to rescue (core measure).

- **30-day mortality rates:**
  - Pneumonia mortality rates.

**Findings**

**Healthcare-Associated Infections**

Infections acquired during hospital care (nosocomial infections) are one of the most serious patient safety concerns. They are the most common complication of hospital care. An estimated 1.7 million HAIs occur...
each year in hospitals, leading to about 100,000 deaths. The most common infections are urinary tract, surgical site, and bloodstream infections. 1

A specific medical error cannot be identified in most cases of HAI. However, better application of evidence-based preventive measures can reduce HAI rates within an institution. For example, one such measure includes the administration of prophylactic antibiotics at the right time prior to surgery.

**Prevention: Appropriate Timing of Antibiotics Among Surgical Patients**

Wound infection following surgery is a common HAI. Hospitals can reduce the risk of surgical site infection by making sure patients get the right antibiotics at the right time on the day of their surgery. Surgery patients who get antibiotics within the hour before their operation are less likely to get wound infections than those who do not. Getting an antibiotic earlier or after surgery begins is not as effective. However, taking these antibiotics for more than 24 hours after routine surgery is usually not necessary and can increase the risk of side effects, such as antibiotic resistance and serious types of diarrhea. Among adult Medicare patients having surgery, the NHQR tracks receipt of antibiotics within 1 hour prior to surgical incision, discontinuation of antibiotics within 24 hours after end of surgery, and a composite of these two measures.

**Figure 3.1. Adult surgery patients who received appropriate timing of antibiotics: Overall composite, by age, 2005-2008**


Denominator: Hospitalized patients having surgery.
The percentage of adult surgery patients who received appropriate timing of antibiotics improved from 2005 to 2008 (74.9% to 91.4%; Figure 3.1). Improvement was also seen among all age groups during this period.

In 2008, patients age 85 and over were less likely than patients under age 65 to receive appropriate timing of antibiotics.

The 2008 top 5 State achievable benchmark was 95.3%. At the current 7% annual rate of increase, this benchmark could be attained overall in about 1 year. All age groups could attain the benchmark in less than 1 year, except for those age 85 and over, who should achieve the benchmark in a little over 1 year.

Outcome: Postoperative Sepsis

Sepsis, a severe bloodstream infection, can occur after surgery. In a recent study, postoperative sepsis occurred in 4.5% of emergency surgery patients and 2.0% of elective surgery patients. Regarding racial disparities, a recent study revealed that higher rates of infection as well as higher risk for acute organ dysfunction both contribute to higher rates of sepsis among Blacks compared with Whites (refer to NHDR). Rates can be reduced by giving patients appropriate prophylactic antibiotics 1 hour prior to surgical incision.

Figure 3.2. Postoperative sepsis per 1,000 elective-surgery discharges with an operating room procedure, by geographic location and gender, 2004-2007

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project, Nationwide Inpatient Sample, and AHRQ Quality Indicators, version 3.1. Denominator: All elective hospital surgical discharges, age 18 and over, with length of stay of 4 or more days, excluding patients admitted for infection, patients with cancer or immunocompromised states, patients with obstetric conditions, and admissions specifically for sepsis. Note: Rates are adjusted by age, gender, age-gender interactions, comorbidities, and diagnosis-related group (DRG) clusters. When reporting is by gender, the adjustment is by age, comorbidities, and DRG clusters.

The top 5 States that contributed to the achievable benchmark are Hawaii, Maine, New Hampshire, South Dakota, and Vermont.
From 2004 to 2007, the overall rate of postoperative sepsis increased from 13.2 per 1,000 discharges to 15.8 (data not shown). During the same period, a significant increase was also seen among all geographic and gender groups (Figure 3.2).

In 2007, residents of small metropolitan, micropolitan, and noncore areas had a significantly lower rate of postoperative sepsis than those in large fringe metropolitan areas (14.3, 12.9, and 13.7 per 1,000 hospital discharges compared with 16.0).

In 2007, females had a significantly lower rate of postoperative sepsis than males (14.1 per 1,000 hospital discharges compared with 17.7).

The 2007 top 4 State achievable benchmark was 9.9 per 1,000 hospital discharges. The overall rate of postoperative sepsis, as well as the rates among all geographic and gender groups, was increasing (moving away from the benchmark).

Adverse Events
Adverse effects of medical care can arise from medical and surgical procedures, as well as from adverse drug reactions. Although patient safety initiatives are predominantly focused on inpatient hospital events, adverse effects of medical care are much more commonly treated at visits to outpatient settings, with more than 12 million such visits occurring annually. Providers treating adverse events in outpatient settings may include office-based physicians, hospital outpatient departments, and hospital emergency departments. Events treated in ambulatory settings may be less severe than those occurring in inpatient settings.

Some adverse events, such as known side effects of appropriately prescribed medications may be unavoidable, while others may be considered medical errors. Although the following measure does not distinguish between the two types of events, it provides an overall sense of the burden these events place on the population.

Noncore areas are outside of metropolitan or micropolitan statistical areas. Micropolitan and noncore areas are typically regarded as “rural.”

The top 4 States that contributed to the achievable benchmark are Arkansas, Massachusetts, Nebraska, and Rhode Island.
In 2007-2008, the rate of ambulatory care visits due to adverse effects of medical care was higher for residents of metropolitan areas compared with residents of nonmetropolitan areas (Figure 3.3).

In 2007-2008, the rate of ambulatory care visits due to adverse effects was also higher for all age groups compared with the group ages 0-17.

Also, in the NHDR:
- In 2007-2008, the rate of ambulatory care visits due to adverse effects was higher for females compared with males.

**Outcome: Mechanical Adverse Events**

Sometimes patients need a central venous catheter inserted into a major vein in the neck, chest, or groin to administer medication or fluids, obtain blood for tests, or take cardiovascular measurements. Patients who require a central venous catheter tend to be severely ill. The placement and use of these catheters can result in mechanical adverse events, including bleeding, hematoma, perforation, pneumothorax; air embolism; and misplacement, occlusion, shearing, or knotting of the catheter.
From 2005 to 2007, there was no statistically significant change overall, or for any age group, in medical adverse events associated with central venous catheter placement (Figure 3.4).

Preventable and Premature Mortality Rates

Outcome: Postoperative Respiratory Failure

Respiratory failure is not uncommon after surgery and may necessitate reintubation or prolonged mechanical ventilation. Causes include oversedation, exacerbation of underlying cardiovascular or respiratory conditions, and ventilator-associated pneumonia. Although some cases of respiratory failure cannot be prevented, closer attention to risk factors can reduce rates.
In 2007, there were no statistically significant differences in the rate of postoperative respiratory failure among patients living in different geographic areas (Figure 3.5).

**Figure 3.5. Postoperative respiratory failure per 1,000 elective surgery discharges after an operating room procedure, by geographic location, age, and gender, 2004-2007.**


*Denominator:* All elective hospital surgical discharges age 18 and over, excluding patients with respiratory disease, circulatory disease, neuromuscular disorders, obstetric conditions, and secondary procedure of tracheostomy before or after surgery or as the only procedure.

*Note:* Rates are adjusted by age, gender, age-gender interactions, comorbidities, and diagnosis-related group clusters. No age adjustments were done for chart presenting estimates by age group.
Females had a lower rate of postoperative respiratory failure than males (9.0% compared with 14.8%).

The rate of postoperative respiratory failure for all other older age groups was higher than for those ages 65-74. Also, compared with those ages 18-44, the rate of postoperative respiratory failure was higher for all other age groups.

**Outcome: Deaths Following Complications of Care**

Many complications that arise during hospital stays cannot be prevented. However, rapid identification and aggressive treatment of complications may prevent these complications from leading to death. The indicator “deaths following complications of care,” also called “failure to rescue,” tracks deaths among patients whose hospitalizations are complicated by pneumonia, thromboembolic events, sepsis, acute renal failure, gastrointestinal bleeding or acute ulcer, shock, or cardiac arrest.11

**Figure 3.6. Deaths per 1,000 discharges with complications potentially resulting from care during hospitalization (failure to rescue), ages 18-74, by geographic location and insurance status, 2004-2007**

From 2004 to 2007, the rate of deaths following complications of care declined from 128.9 to 105.7 per 1,000 admissions of adults ages 18-74 (data not shown). A significant decrease was also seen among all geographic, gender (data not shown), and insurance groups during the same period.

---

**Source:** Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project, Nationwide Inpatient Sample, 2004-2007. **Denominator:** Patients ages 18-74 years from U.S. community hospitals whose hospitalizations are complicated by pneumonia, thromboembolic events, sepsis, acute renal failure, gastrointestinal bleeding or acute ulcer; shock, or cardiac arrest. **Note:** Rates are adjusted by age, gender, comorbidities, and diagnosis-related group clusters.
In 2007, females had a significantly lower rate of deaths following complications than males (99.8 per 1,000 discharges compared with 112.1; data not shown).

In 2007, for those who were uninsured, the rate of deaths following complications of care was higher than for those with private insurance (126.8 per 1,000 admissions compared with 101.8; Figure 3.6).

Also, in the NHDR:

Asians have a significantly higher rate of deaths following complications than Whites (130.2 per 1,000 discharges compared with 111.3).

30-Day Mortality Rates

One of the goals for measurement specified by the NPP under the Safety priority is to reduce 30-day hospital mortality rates for conditions such as pneumonia. While national 30-day mortality rates due to pneumonia are not currently available for reporting, the in-hospital mortality rates per 1,000 hospital admissions with pneumonia are reported here. About two-thirds of patients who die within 30 days of hospital admission die inside the hospital, and the correlation between inpatient and 30-day mortality is high.\(^1\)

Outcome: Inpatient Pneumonia Deaths

Figure 3.7. Deaths per 1,000 hospital admissions with pneumonia as principal diagnosis, age 18 and over, United States, by geographic location and gender, 2004-2007

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project, Nationwide Inpatient Sample, and AHRQ Quality Indicators, version 3.1. Denominator: All discharges age 18 and over with principal diagnosis code of pneumonia, excluding patients transferred to another short-term hospital and obstetric and neonatal admissions.

Note: Rates are adjusted by age, gender, age-gender interactions, and all patient refined-diagnosis related group (APR-DRG) risk of mortality score. When reporting is by gender, the adjustment is by age and APR-DRG risk of mortality score.
From 2004 to 2007, the inpatient pneumonia mortality rate decreased overall from 55.2 per 1,000 admissions to 40.8. During the same period, a significant decrease was also seen among all geographic areas and among males and females (Figure 3.7).

In 2007, small metropolitan, micropolitan, and noncore areas had significantly worse inpatient pneumonia mortality rates than large fringe metropolitan areas.

In 2007, females had a significantly better inpatient pneumonia mortality rate than males.

The 2007 top 4 State achievable benchmark was 27.5 per 1,000 hospital admissions. At the current annual rate of increase, this benchmark could be attained in less than 3 years.

At the current rate of improvement, large fringe metropolitan, large central metropolitan, and medium metropolitan areas could attain the benchmark in 2 to 3 years. However, small metropolitan and micropolitan areas could not attain the benchmark for almost 4 years and noncore areas could not attain the benchmark for almost 7 years.

Females could attain the benchmark in 2 years, while males could attain the benchmark in 3 years.

\[1^*\] The top 4 States that contributed to the achievable benchmark are Arizona, Colorado, Maryland, and Michigan.
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